



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_44122
Title of the Manuscript:	Variance in Protease, Dehydrogenase, Phosphatase and Respiratory Activities during Phytoremediation of Crude Oil Polluted Agricultural Soil Using Schwenkia americana and Spermacoce ocymoides
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Line 48-50 : need to re-written "This study thus assays....."</p> <p>Line 61-69 : The methodology from 'Soil samples.... need to be rewritten 'unclear'</p> <p>For the letters that indicate the significance in the tables there are a mixture and mistakes. first you must indicate which test you are using and which method. Then for the organization of letters, you must use the same organization (a > b > c...) or (a < b < c...) for all columns and tables. if you choose to use the letter "a" for the high value you must continue using it like that for all the rest</p> <p>Line 71 : cite the method then cite the reference</p> <p>At the end of the methodology section, add the statistical analyzes</p> <p>Line 154 : for the paragraph to be understood, add the authors' names and then add the reference as a number "can be substantiated by the findings of (authors' names and year) [15]", the same for line 178, 179, 239, 239,240 and 258</p> <p>Line 158-162 : need to re-written, and suppress the sentence (PCC, a measure of the linear correlation or dependence between two variables)</p> <p>Line 168-169 : witch statistical test you used 'add' and correct (P = .05) to (P = 0.05) the same for line 195, 196,228,229,235,236,252,253, 267 and 268.</p> <p>Line 173 : correct "activity » to « activities »</p> <p>Line 179 : need to rewritten "[17] Made a clearer and more acceptable report...." unclear</p> <p>Line 191 : make unit "kg-1 d-1" as exponent "kg⁻¹ d⁻¹" the same for line 224 and 231</p>	<p>The ordering of the letters (a, b, c, d) is simply an indication of significant differences and does not show if a mean is high or low. The footnotes below the tables clarify the tables.</p> <p>Other suggested corrections have been made and indicated.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	