



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_43840
Title of the Manuscript:	Parasitic Profile of Fresh Vegetables sold in Selected Markets of the Cape Coast Metropolis in Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	-----	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please, the data should be written on the text or on the tables. Not on both. 2. Line 149. <i>Trichuris trichiura</i> is misspelled (trichuira). Please, correct it. 3. Lines 181-183: What disinfection methods would the authors suggest as an aid for consumers? 4. Ziehl-Neelsen techniques would be useful for the detection of intestinal coccidian parasites. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This has been noted. Only key data in the tables have been used in description in the text.. 2. This has been Corrected 3. Noted but we leave that for consumers to decide. 4. This has been noted and addressed in the text.
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is generally well written and clearly presented. The results are convincing. It deserves publication after making the suggested corrections.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	