



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_41988
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative study between powder and nanoparticles of dried cactus (<i>Opuntia ficus-indica</i> L.) fruit peels in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats: Anti-microbial and anti-genotoxic capacity
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	These results could serve as a scientific base for the therapeutic use of <i>Opuntia ficus-indica</i> L. species. They have completed the literature data with new information concerning their bioactivity. The purpose of the paper is accomplished. The results are well presented and interpreted. The results could serve as a scientific base for the other pharmacological determinations. The paper is written in according to the journal requirements.	Thank very much for the reviewer comments. It is a great honour to get such positive feedback for our manuscript. So, regarding the reviewer comments, no correction needed for our manuscript