



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_41324
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of environment factors, genotype and their interaction on agronomic and yield traits of grain sorghum B-lines
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The text of this manuscript was prepared very well in an understandable manner. The English language used is standard. The conclusions were well justified and based on the research results presented in the paper, but there are some flaws in the paper :</p> <p>Abstract Line 20: You wrote "increasing temperature in Shandaweel from 2013 to 2012". You must write "decreasing temperature in.....from 2012 to 2013".</p> <p>Introduction Line 81: What does ROS mean? Line 83-84: I suggest to you to put day in text instead of d.</p> <p>Results and discussion You should make the table 4 more understandable. You wrote twice "error" in this table. Is this two different analyses? I suggest you to add F value and the intercept in this table.</p> <p>Line 199-201: The sentence is too long.</p> <p>Lines 204-206: You wrote "The environment E1 (Giza, 1st planting date, 2012 season) exhibited the lowest mean number of days to 50% flowering (earliness), plant height and number of grains/plant ". It misses some words to make sentence more understandable. You should add « lowest » before « plant height » and « number of grains/plant »</p>	<p>Thanks to you.</p> <p>I corrected it to be: " higher temperature in 2012 than 2013 season in Shandaweel caused significant (p< 0.01) reduction in GYPP</p> <p>-ROS deleted -Done</p> <p>-Done</p> <p>-We do not put F value; instead, we put the significance (stars).</p> <p>-Corrected -Done</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		