



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_40947
Title of the Manuscript:	Improvement of Delignification, Desilication and Cellulosis Content Availability in Paddy Straw via Physico-Chemical Pre-treatments
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The Article is very interesting and can help to improve the utilization of rice straw. The Article is well written, clear and objective.</p> <p>However, the objective of this research was to determine which were the bests pre-treatments "physical and chemical" to maintain the cellulose quantity in rice straw.</p> <p>Therefore, the conclusions shall to include something about the physical pre-treatments.</p>	Result of physical pretreatment has been added in the conclusion as suggested by the reviewer from Line 276-278.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		