



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_40707
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of the predominant lactic acid microorganisms and proximate composition of spontaneously fermented gari and fufu, cassava food products
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Manuscript needs to be revised before it is suitable for publication in Annual Research and Review in Biology.</p> <p>Author(s) should have used journal manuscript template for preparation of manuscript so that it can be efficiently reviewed. For instance line numbering of manuscript has not been done by authors.</p> <p>Abstract: Author(s) need to revise this section to conform with journal specification. That is, it should be re-presented in the structural format with the subheadings: Aim; Study Design; Place and Duration of Study; Methodology; Results; Conclusion</p> <p>The following should be noted for revision:</p> <p>On table 4, the unit of proximate composition (%) should be indicated</p> <p>Page 3, 2<sup>nd</sup> Paragraph, Last line: Author(s) should check the appropriateness of the cited references 11, 12,13 for the scientific statement made.</p> <p>Page 5, 1<sup>st</sup> Paragraph: “Potato Dextrose agar” should be replaced with “potato dextrose agar”</p> <p>Page 6, 1<sup>st</sup> Paragraph: “30m ins” should be replaced with “30 min”</p> <p>Page 6, 3<sup>rd</sup> Paragraph, Last line: Insert “c” before r in the phrase “rude protein”</p> <p>Page 7, 1<sup>st</sup> Lines: Replace “H” with “h” in “Hydrogen” and “C” with “c” in “Cyanide”.</p> <p>References: Problems with references! Author(s) need to adhere strictly to journal specifications regarding writing of references on the listed pages. Reference 2 is incompletely written. It needs to be re-written into detail with complete information. Some of the literature citations are quite old! There are more recent literatures reporting results of similar researches. Author(s) have not mentioned them. It is good for authors to reference the reports of recent researches on similar subjects. Examples of these are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Abodjo KC, Tagro GS, Olo K, Akissi KF, Koffi NR, Marina KC. Biochemical and microbial changes during traditional spontaneous lactic acid fermentation process using two varieties of cassava for production of a “Alladjan” starter. Int J Food Res. 2010;17:563-73.</li> <li>2. Oyedeji O, Ogunbanwo ST, Onilude AB. Predominant Lactic Acid Bacteria Involved in the traditional Fermentation of <i>Fufu</i> and <i>Ogi</i>, Two Nigerian Fermented Food Products. Food Nutr Sci. 2013;4:40-6.</li> </ol>	<p>Line numbering has been inserted into the document by authors.</p> <p>Abstract has been revised to conform with journal specification, following the structural format with subheadings as follows: Aim; Study Design; Place and Duration of Study; Methodology; Results; Conclusion.</p> <p>The unit of proximate composition (%) has been indicated.</p> <p>The scientific statements made were found to correlate well and appropriate with the cited references. The authors have added more recent researches as suggested by the reviewer.</p> <p>“Potato Dextrose agar” was replaced with “potato dextrose agar”</p> <p>“30m ins” was replaced with “30 min”</p> <p>“c” was inserted before r in the phrase “rude protein”</p> <p>“H” was replaced with “h” in “Hydrogen” and “C” with “c” in “Cyanide”</p> <p>Reference 2 has been re-written according to journal specifications and in detail.</p> <p>More recent literature citations have been added, including the recommended literature.</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>3. Krabi RE, Assamoi AA, Ehoni FA, Niamke SL. Screening of lactic acid bacteria as potential starter for the production of <i>attiéké</i>, a fermented cassava food. Food Environ safety. 2015;14(1):21-9.</p> <p>The format for writing the above examples, which is according to journal specification, should be followed in revising the references on the list.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		