



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_40707
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of the predominant lactic acid microorganisms and proximate composition of spontaneously fermented gari and fufu, cassava food products
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	the subject is relevant. The abstracts, materials and methods are well written. However the introduction is too long and needs to be rewritten. The author speaks too much of the details instead of going to the basics. At the level of results Is it gas or Gas?	The introduction has been re-written to be more succinct and shorter.  “Gas” was changed to “gas”
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	The document can be published after revision of the introduction	The Introduction has been revised according to the reviewer's observations.