



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_40352
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Nitrogen Rates on Growth, Carbon Assimilation and Quality of Water Spinach (<i>Ipomea aquatica</i>)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	See some comments in the text	The abstract have been corrected
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) There is no coherency in the whole text. Authors needs to do a good job by re-writing the whole text. 2) Lots of disjointed statements 3) Am finding it difficult to connect the topic with both the abstract and the whole text 	The text have been rewritten and the statement have been corrected through the article