



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_40352
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Nitrogen Rates on Growth, Carbon Assimilation and Quality of Water Spinach (<i>Ipomea aquatica</i>)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: Rephrase the last sentence in the study design to delete using and full stop to arranged in a</p> <p>Line 14: Replace has contributed for contributes, Products for product</p> <p>Line 24: Delete of between 1650 and species</p> <p>Line 26-27: Rephrase to this species grows well in both high and low altitudes</p> <p>Line 28: Rephrase to besides ease of growth Kangkung cultivation is favoured due to its quick maturity period</p> <p>Line 32: Replace that involve to involved</p> <p>Line 38: Replace supply for supplied</p> <p>Line 39: Replace also is to is also</p> <p>Line 42: Replace resulting for results</p> <p>Use a grammar checking software like Grammarly to correct the English</p> <p>Line 52-53: Delete repeated photosynthesis</p> <p>Line 61: Insert acid after phenolic; Replace plant for plants; Insert are after plant</p> <p>Line 68: Not clear what increased? Nitrogen?</p> <p>Lines 192, 361, 421, 426: Italicise scientific names</p> <p>Line 214: Replace significantly for significant</p> <p>Results in lines 354-357 are conflicting</p>	<p>The abstract have been corrected</p> <p>Line 14 have been corrected</p> <p>Line 24 have been corrected</p> <p>Line 26-27 have been corrected</p> <p>Line 28 have been corrected</p> <p>Line 32 have been corrected</p> <p>Line 38 have been corrected</p> <p>Line 39 have been corrected</p> <p>Line 42 have been corrected</p> <p>The article have been checked with grammarly</p> <p>Line 52 have been corrected</p> <p>Line 61 and 68 have been corrected</p> <p>Line 192,361,421 and 426 have been corrected</p> <p>Line 214 have been corrected</p> <p>Line 354-357 have been corrected</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		