



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_39469
Title of the Manuscript:	SCREENING OF ANTIMICROBIAL RESIDUE IN COMMERCIAL EGGS IN MAIDUGURI METROPOLIS, BORNO STATE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1) In sample processing, the author says that the egg surface was cleansed using 70% alcohol. The author must show that 70% alcohol can kill all bacteria on the surface.	Noted and recast. Technically 70% alcohol is to prevent contamination.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1) The author can concretely indicate the mechanism of antimicrobial residue into egg as the residue is different among each area. 2) The line 175 th should be made using a sentence containing one subject and verb.	Noted and recast
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This study is useful for people. Therefore, the paper can be accepted after revised.	Thank you