



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_36173
Title of the Manuscript:	Morphological Diversity and Cytological Studies in Some Accessions of Vigna vexillata (L.) A. Richard
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript contains a good study with practical applications in breeding Vigna. The analysis is comprehensive and the entire manuscript is written in grammatically correct scientific language. The columns containing raceme position and pod texture can be omitted from table three because they are monomorphic. Instead they can be mentioned in the text. Several morphological traits have scored 0 as the minimum value. This needs better clarification.	
Minor REVISION comments	None	
Optional/General comments	None	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S.A.C.N. Perera
Department, University & Country	Department of Agricultural Biology, University of Peradeniya, Sri Lanka

