



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_36173
Title of the Manuscript:	Morphological Diversity and Cytological Studies in Some Accessions of Vigna vexillata (L.) A. Richard
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The intended of this study was to characterise some accessions of V. vexillata using morphological and cytological tools. It is a well writing article with well-defined objectives and methodology which need only some minor revisions</p> <p>Line 30: Remove "Host Plant Resistance »</p> <p>Line 60: Can you name these " beneficial purposes"?</p> <p>Line 71 Write "Democratic Republic of Congo" rather than "Zaire"</p> <p>Line 84: Table 2: Divide into three columns (1 for qualitative and 02 for quantitative traits)</p> <p>Specify the mode of reproduction of the species and importance to doing cytological studies</p> <p>Line 283. Name the accessions of V. vexillata (L.) that can be beneficial for genetic improvement of cowpea, V. unguiculata 288 (L.) Walp.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nehemie Donfagsiteli Tchinda
Department, University & Country	Institute of Medical Research and Medicinal Plants Studies, Cameroon