



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Journal Name:	<u>Annual Research & Review in Biology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_34380
Title of the Manuscript:	AGGREGATION OF BASIC REGULAR BLOOD ELEMENTS IN CALVES OF MILK FEEDING
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Line 1-2: The topic "Aggregation of basic regular blood elements in calves of milk feeding" should be "Aggregation of basic regular blood elements in calves during the phase of milk feeding".</p> <p>Line 4-32: There should be a more orderly and concise arrangement of the abstract with abstract content appearing under appropriate subtitles such as "aim", "study design", "place and duration of study", "methodology", "results" and "Conclusion"</p> <p>Line 5-6, 10: In place of writing "black-many coloured breed" find out the specific breed of calf used and report it.</p> <p>Line 6-7: The statement "between the 11th and the 30th days of life" should be between 11 to 30 days of life.</p> <p>Line 9-10: The statement "work was conducted with the help of 39 calves of black-many colored breed, taken into investigation on the 11th day of life" is not grammatically correct and seems unnecessarily repetitive in abstract, please remove.</p> <p>Line 14: "to the increase of spontaneous aggregation" should be replaced with "for the increase in spontaneous aggregation"</p> <p>Line 19, 21, 23: Write the full meaning of the acronym "AP" and "ADP".</p> <p>Line 24-25: poor grammatical construction, please rephrase the statement correctly and be concise and clear with your report.</p> <p>Line 41: "Its evidence is under" should be "it is evident"</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>under”</p> <p>Line 83-84: “with alight tendency to strenghtening” this statement is grammatically incorrect. Did you mean “with a slight tendency to increase”?</p> <p>Line 85-86: From which days were there increase in AHP and TBA active products?</p> <p>Line 93-94, 103-104,107-108: What table is indicating the results you are reporting? The table should appear in results and not after reference.</p> <p>Line 109-122: What is the significance or impact of the aggregation of blood element? Please justify this statement properly in your discussion so one can understand better the basis of this research.</p> <p>Line 121-122: Statement you made is not correct should be “it can explain the slight ability in aggregation of basic regular blood elements in calves during the phase of milk feeding”.</p> <p>Line 259-260: The figures in the table should show level of significance (p value) if present .</p>	
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p>	<p>Line 5-6: “There was formed” and “which” should be removed from the statement Line 36: The statement “in a living body blood,” should be “in a living body, blood,” Line 39: It should be metabolic waste/ end products and not metabolic products. Line 43: Please what do you mean by “can break metabolic processes”? Explain further. You can also use a more appropriate scientific term e.g antagonize, inhibit etc Line 58: The statement “The work was conducted with the help of 39 calves” should be “The work was conducted using 39 calves” Line 92: “to the rise of” should be “in the rise of”</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>There are too many errors in terms of grammatical expressions in this manuscript. There can be a better use of grammar.</p> <p>The report can be made more straightforward and clear enough to understand, moreover justify the work more and essence of the research in your introduction.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Elemo Olubunmi Olajumoke</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Pharmacology, Toxicology and Therapeutics, University of Lagos, Lagos, Nigeria</i>