SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

| Journal Name: | Annual Research & Review in Biology | |
|--------------------------|---|--|
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ARRB_34318 | |
| Title of the Manuscript: | KI67 and P53 expression in response to Equigan induced testicular injury and oxidative stress in male rat and the possible prophylactic effect of star anise extracts | |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (07-06-2013)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| | | reviewer, correct the manuscript and |
| | | highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write |
| | | his/her feedback here) |
| Compulsory REVISION comments | Line 219 is mentioning a significant increase of the apoptotic protein p53 and a decrease in Kl67. However, any statistical analysis has been done, not any numerical measurement. That sentence should be corrected or some analyses should be done. Subjective perception of researcher on a few pictures might not be strong data enough to be published. Pictures are mean to support numerical results and not the opposite. Chemical compounds for SAE and Equigan might be described and compared, since this study is aimed to explain the benefits of star anise extracts as a natural compound for steroid stimulation. Clear distinction between human and animals should be mentioned, because the Equigan might be wrongly used for muscle development in humans, because that might have serious health consequences, since human and animal metabolism nor steroids composition are not equal. | THE THE UDDICK THETE) |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

Reviewer Details:

| Name: | Alicia García Falgueras |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Department, University & Country | The Official College of Psychologists in Madrid, Spain |