



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_34380
Title of the Manuscript:	AGGREGATION OF BASIC REGULAR BLOOD ELEMENTS IN CALVES OF MILK FEEDING
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer' s comment	Author' s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Methodology: please put where the study was conducted.	Dear reviewer! We have tried to make necessary changes to grammar and spelling.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 5. Rephrase the sentence Line 8 (should be); the study was conducted in Kolos farm Conclusion: should be based on the result obtain.... like the calves at the age of 11-30 days were.....	We have tried to make necessary changes to grammar and spelling.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		