



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ARRB_34380</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>AGGREGATION OF BASIC REGULAR BLOOD ELEMENTS IN CALVES OF MILK FEEDING</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer' s comment</b>	<b>Author' s comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Methodology: please put where the study was conducted.</b>	Dear reviewer! We have tried to make necessary changes to grammar and spelling.
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	Line 5. Rephrase the sentence Line 8 (should be): the study was conducted in Kolos farm ..... Conclusion: should be based on the result obtain.... like the calves at the age of 11-30 days were.....	We have tried to make necessary changes to grammar and spelling.
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		