



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_34380
Title of the Manuscript:	AGGREGATION OF BASIC REGULAR BLOOD ELEMENTS IN CALVES OF MILK FEEDING
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article does not discuss how these findings are compared to finding from other studies. I would like to read about the relevance of the findings and potential limitations of the study	Dear reviewer! Authors express sincere gratitude for your attentive reading to our manuscript and the made remarks directed to her improvement. We have tried to add her with comparison with results of earlier conducted researches on close subject.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Spelling, spacing and line numbers have to be reviewed and corrections must be made	We have tried to make necessary changes to grammar and spelling.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		