



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ARRB_28617</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>MOLECULAR SPECTROSCOPIC ANALYSIS OF DAUCUS CAROTA PLANT PIGMENT EXTRACTS.</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)





**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	This manuscript does not mean an advance in the knowledge of plant pigments. Authors should revise carotenoid composition of carrots (it is not lycopene, it is a- and b-carotene) and build appropriate comments in the introduction and in results sections. Also experimental results sound rare as the extraction of carrots with organic solvents should provide nice UV-vis spectra at other maximum regions (350-550 nm) corresponding to carotenoids. Also species of the carrots used in the study are not detailed.	1. a- and b – carotene have been revised. 2. It is done.
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		