



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_28617
Title of the Manuscript:	MOLECULAR SPECTROSCOPIC ANALYSIS OF DAUCUS CAROTA PLANT PIGMENT EXTRACTS.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Line (90): CHCl_3 should be written instead of CCl_3 if it's intended to be the abbreviation of Chloroform. Line (97): Reference number [11] should be written after (Ukhun and Azi, 1991) Line (117): Reference number [16] should be written instead of (Stuart, 2013) to keep all references in numbers. In Reference Section: The year of publication is not stated for both references [2] and [9]. 	<p>Line (90): CHCl_3 has been affected.</p> <p>Line (97&117): Reference number 11&16, instead of the names.</p> <p>Publication years for (2 & 9) have been stated.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Line (49): the amount of the sample should be stated. There are differences in the values of λ_{max} of methanol and ethanol extracts from table 1 and table 2, please check. Reference number [10]; It's not a publication as it's not published in a scientific journal or a book. It's suggested to be removed. 	<p>Line (49) has been noted.</p> <p>2. The values are okay.</p> <p>Reference number (10) as suggested have been removed.</p>
Optional/General comments	It's suggested to merge table 1 and table 2 into one table.	The tables are okay the way they are.