## SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



## **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_44348
Title of the Manuscript:	SYMMETRIC q-GAMMA FUNCTION.
Type of the Article	Research paper

# **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of "**lack of Novelty**", provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

## **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In this paper authors gave new characterizations of the symmetric q- Gamma function and discussed there intimately related.  After carefully reviewed the paper, I found following observations as follows:  1) Aim of the paper is limited, it should be revised. 2) What do you mean by Theorem 4, it is remark or theorem? 3) If Theorem 4 is theorem then where proof? 4) Proof of Theorem 5 not clear. Revised it 5) Add following references:  New concepts of fractional quantum calculus and applications to impulsive fractional q-difference equations, Advances in Difference Equations 2015 (1), 18 q-Integral inequalities associated with some fractional q-integral operators, Journal of Inequalities and Applications 2015 (1), 345 Advances in Real and Complex Analysis with Applications, Springer Singapore Certain q-series identities, Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



# **SDI Review Form 1.6**

# PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Praveen Agarwal
Department, University & Country	International Centre for basic and Applied Sciences, India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)