



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_44348
Title of the Manuscript:	SYMMETRIC q-GAMMA FUNCTION.
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In this paper authors gave new characterizations of the symmetric q-Gamma function and discussed there intimately related.</p> <p>After carefully reviewed the paper, I found following observations as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Aim of the paper is limited, it should be revised. 2) What do you mean by Theorem 4, it is remark or theorem? 3) If Theorem 4 is theorem then where proof? 4) Proof of Theorem 5 not clear. Revised it 5) Add following references: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> New concepts of fractional quantum calculus and applications to impulsive fractional q-difference equations, Advances in Difference Equations 2015 (1), 18 <input type="checkbox"/> q-Integral inequalities associated with some fractional q-integral operators, Journal of Inequalities and Applications 2015 (1), 345 <input type="checkbox"/> Advances in Real and Complex Analysis with Applications, Springer Singapore <input type="checkbox"/> Certain q-series identities, Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias 	It is done
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	