



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_43909
Title of the Manuscript:	Study for Uniform Convergence and Power Series
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>From the above, we have seen that the properties of the power series are very perfect, it is an extremely rare class of function series; in addition, Cauchy criterion has played important role. Using Cauchy criterion as a tool, not only can derive many properties of number series, but it can also be derived a lot of properties of function series in the deep. In269 addition, the limit thought is never less important tool in our study.</p> <p>The results obtained in the paper are publishable, subject to some necessary changes. The techniques used to solve the problem are standard with some novelty, and the results obtained are correct. However, there are some points need to be further clarified before its final acceptance for publication:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The motivation on the study should be further emphasized, particularly; the main advantages of the results in the paper comparing with others should be clearly demonstrated. 2. English should be further improved, since the paper has some spelling and grammar errors. Also the paper has some editing problems. 	
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Grienggrai Rajchakit</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Maejo University, Thailand</i>