



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_43569
Title of the Manuscript:	Sg-continuity in Topological ordered spaces
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This paper considers Sg-increasing or decreasing continuity in topological ordered spaces and studies the relationships between them. The author forgets to recall basic definitions. The main results reduce to establish, by means of examples, that certain continuity properties are independent..</p> <p>One realizes that the author knows strongly the arguments, but no precise proof to the statements is given.</p>	<p>The basic definitions which are useful are given. We need the basic definitions of sg-closed set and increasing, decreasing and balanced sets only. We have given them in the paper. If it is necessary to give all the definitions then i will give them in a separate section.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	