Original Research Article

THE IMPACT OF CONSUMPTION ON AN INVESTOR'S STRATEGY UNDER STOCHASTIC INTEREST RATE AND CORRELATING BROWNIAN MOTIONS

Abstract

In thiswork, we consider that an investor's portfolio comprises of two assets- a risk-free asset driven by Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Stochastic interest rate of return model and the second asset a risky stock with a price process governed by the geometric Brownian motion. It is also considered thatthere are withdrawals for consumption and taxes, transaction costs and dividends are in involved. The aimwas to investigatethe effect of consumption on an investor's trading strategy under correlating Brownian motions. The relating Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation was obtained using maximum principle.The application of elimination of variable dependency gave the optimal investment strategy for the investor's problem. Among the findings is that more fund should be made available for investment on the risky asset when there is consumption to keep the investor solvent.

Keywords:consumption, Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation, optimal investment, Ornstein-Uhlenbeck, stochastic, interest rate.

MSC 2010:62P05; 65C 30.

1. Introduction

In the field of mathematical finance asset allocation problems in continuous time framework are among the most widely studied problems, and dates back to Merton [1, 2]. In the Merton'soriginal workprovidedexplicit solutions on how one'sexpected utility is maximized while trading on stocks and consumption taking place as the underlying assets follow the Black–Scholes–Merton model with specificutility preference. After these pioneer works, many researcheshave been done and more are going on in many facetsof Mathematical Finance.Among them, some allow for imperfections in the financial markets, Magill and Constantinides [3]. In the case of transaction costs, Guasoni and Muhle-Karbe [4], have made contributions. For investment under drawdown constraint, contributors include, Elie and Touzi [5]. In the case oftrading with price impact we have,Cuoco and Cvitani´c [6] etc.
In the area of the volatility being stochastic, contributors includeZariphopoulou [7], Chacko and Viceira[8], Fouque et al. [9] and Lorig and Sircar [10].
Empirical studies haveshownthat non-Markovian (dependence) structure models in long-terminvestment whichis much related to daily data and long range dependence exhibits in both return and volatilitydescribe the data better,(Cont [11], Chronopoulou and Viens [12]).
The introduction of transaction costs into the investment and consumption problems follow from the works of Shreve and Soner [13],Akian et al. [14], and Janeˇcek and Shreve [15]. Investigators into optimal consumption problem with borrowing constraints include, Fleming and Zariphopoulou [16], Vilaand Zariphopoulou [17], Ihedioha [18]and Yao and Zhang [19].

The mentioned models were studies under the assumption that the risky asset's price dynamics was driven by the geometric Brownian motion (GBM) and the risk-free asset with a rate of return that is assumed constant. Some authors have studied the problem under the extension of geometric Brownian motion (GBM) called the constant elasticity of variance (CEV) model. The constant elasticity of variance (CEV) model has an advantage that the volatility rate has correlation with the risky asset price. Cox and Ross[20]originally proposed the use of constant elasticity of variance (CEV) model as an alternative diffusion process for pricing European option; Cox and Ross [20].Schroder [21], Lo et al. [22], Phelim and Yisong [23], and Davydov and Linetsky [24] have applied it to analyze the option pricing formula. Further applications of the constant elasticity of variance (CEV) model, in the recent years, has been in the areas of annuity contracts and the optimal investment strategies in the utility framework using dynamic programming principle.

Detailed discussions can be found in, Xiao et al.[25], Gao [26, 27], Gu et al. [28], Lin and Li [29], Gu et al.[30], Jung and Kim [31] and Zhao and Rong [32].

The cases of portfolio maximization when the price of the risk-free asset is driven by Ornstein-Uhlenbeck model and the risky asset by the geometric Brownian motion and the rate of return of the risk-free asset is constant and the risky asset governed by constant elasticity of variance have been investigated by Ihedioha ([32], [33]).

This paper aims at investigating and giving a closed form solution to the impactof consumptionon an investor's investment strategy when the rate of return of the risky asset is governed by the geometric Brownian motion and the risk-free asset driven by the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Stochastic interest rate of return model and under correlating Brownian motions. Dynamic programming principle, specifically, the maximum principle is applied to obtain the HJB equation for the value function.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In section 2 is the problem formulation and the model. In section 3, maximum principle is applied to obtain, the HJB equation, the optimal investment strategy and the impact of consumption investigated. Section 4 concludes the paper.

2. The problem formulation:

Two cases are considered in the work, thus;

1. When there is no consumption
2. When there is consumption

Case 1**: When there is no consumption**

Adopting the formulation in Ihedioha [33], we assume that an investor trades two assets in an economy continuously-c riskless asset (bond) and a risky asset (stock), Let the price of the riskless asset be denoted by $P(t)$ with a rate of return$r(t)$ which is stochastic and driven by the Orinstein-Uhlenbeck model.That is

$dP(t) = r(t)P(t)dt$(1)

where

$dr(t) = \alpha\big(\beta - r(t)\big)dt + \sigma dz_1(t): r(0) = r_0$(2)

whereα is the speed of mean reversion,β the mean level attracting the interest rate and σ the constant volatility of the interest rate.$Z_1(t)$is a standard Brownian motion. Also, let the price of the risky asset be denoted by$P_1(t)$ with the process

$dP_1(t) = P_1(t)[\mu dt + \lambda dZ_2(t)]$,(3)

whereμ and λ are constants and μ the drift parameter while λ is the diffusion parameter.$z_2(t)$is another standard Brownian motion.

Through this work, we assume a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \rho)$ and a filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$. Uncertainty in the models are generated by the Brownian motions$Z_1(t)$and $Z_2(t)$.
Let $\pi(t)$ to the amount of money the investor decides to put in the risky asset at time t,then the balance $[X(t) - \pi(t)]$ is the amount to be invested in the riskless assets, where$w(t)$ is the total amount of money available for investment.
Assumption:
We assume that transaction cost, tax and dividend are paid on the amount invested in the risky asset at constant rates, σ, θ and d respectively. Therefore for any policy π, the total wealth process of the investor follows the stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$dX^{\pi}(t) = \pi(t)\frac{dP_1(t)}{P_1(t)} + [X(t) - \pi(t)]\frac{dP(t)}{P(t)} - (\vartheta + \theta - d)\pi(t)dt. \quad (4)$$

Applying (1) and (3) in (4) gives

$$dX^{\pi}(t) = \{[(\mu + d) - (r(t) + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi(t) + r(t)X(t)\}dt + \lambda\pi(t)dZ_2(t). \quad (5)$$

Suppose the investor has a utility function $U(.)$ which is strictly concave and continuously differentiable on $(-\infty, +\infty)$ and wishes to maximize his expected utility of terminal wealth, then his problem can therefore be written as

$$\underset{\pi}{Max}\, \epsilon[U(X(T))] \quad (6)$$

subject to (5).
This work assumes a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathrm{P})$ and a filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$, and uncertainties in the models are generated by the Brownian motions$Z_1(t)$and $Z_2(t)$.

Case 2: When there is consumption

Also, adoptingIhedioha [34],further assumptions is that consumption withdrawals are made from the risk-free account, therefore for any trading strategy $(\pi(t), K(t))$ the total wealth process of the investor follows the stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$dX(t) = \pi(t)\frac{dP_1(t)}{P_1(t)} + [X(t) - \pi(t)]\frac{dP(t)}{P(t)} - [(\vartheta + \theta - d)\pi(t) + K(t)]dt, \quad (7)$$

where$K(t)$ is the rate of consumption.
Applying (2) and (3) in (4) obtains:

$$dX(t) = \pi(t)[\mu dt + \lambda dZ_2(t)] + [X(t) - \pi(t)]r(t)dt - [(\vartheta + \theta - d)\pi(t) + K(t)]dt. \quad (8)$$

which becomes

$$dX(t) = \{[(\mu + d) - (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi(t) + r(t)X(t) - K(t)\}dt + \lambda\pi(t)dZ_2(t). \quad (9)$$

Definition: (admissible strategy). An investment and consumption $(\pi(t), K(t))$ strategy is said to be admissible if the following conditions are satisfied:

i. $(\pi(t), k(t))$ is $\mathcal{F}_t$ −progressively measurable and
ii. $\int_0^T \pi^2(t)dt < \infty, \int_0^T k(t)dt < \infty \; ; \forall T > 0$(10)
iii. $E\left[\int_0^T (\lambda^2\pi^2(t))dt\right] < \infty$ (11)
iv. For $\forall\ (\pi(t), k(t))$,the stochastic differential equation (9) has a unique solution, Chang et al. [35].

Assuming the set of all admissible investment and consumption strategies $(\pi(t), k(t))$ is denoted by $B = \left[(\pi(t), k(t)) : 0 \leq t \leq T\right]$,then the investor`s problem can be stated mathematically as:

$$\mathrm{Max}_{[\pi(t),k(t)]\in B}\, E[(U(X(T)]. \quad (12)$$

This study considers the power utility function given by

$U(X(t)) = \frac{X^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi}; \phi \neq 1.$(13)

Using the classical tools of stochastic optimal control where consumption is involved, define the value function at time t as:

$$G(t, r(t), P_1(t), X(t)) = {}^{sup}_{\ B} E\left[\int_0^T e^{-\varrho\tau}\frac{K^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi}d\tau + e^{-\varrho T}\frac{{X_T}^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi}\right];$$

$P_1(t) = p_1; X(t) = x; r(t) = r, K(t) = k; 0 < t < T$(14)

Therefore the investor`s problem becomes

$G(t, r, p_1, x) = {}^{\quad\quad\quad sup}_{[\pi(t), k(t)] \in B} E\left[\int_0^T e^{-\varrho\tau}\frac{k^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi}d\tau + e^{-\varrho T}\frac{x^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi}\right]$(15)

subject to (9).

3.The Optimal investment strategy for the power utility function

Here we obtain the explicit strategies for the optimization problem using the maximum principle andstochastic control.

3.1. When there is no consumption

Define the value function as

$$G(t, r, p_1, x) = {}^{Max}_{\ \pi}[\epsilon(U(w)] = 0; U(T, W) = U(w), 0 < t < T$$

$r(t) = r, X(t) = x, P_1(t) = p_1$,(16)

then the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation (HJB) is

$$G_t + \alpha(\beta - r)G_r + \mu p_1 G_{p_1} + \{[(\mu + d) - (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi + rx\}G_x + \lambda^2 p_1 \pi G_{p_1 x} + \rho\sigma p_1 G_{r p_1} +$$
$$\rho\sigma\lambda\pi G_{rx} + \frac{1}{2}\left[\sigma^2 G_{rr} + \lambda^2 {p_1}^2 G_{p_1 p_1} + \lambda^2\pi^2 G_{xx}\right] = 0(17)$$

where the Brownian motions have correlation coefficient ρ.

G_t, G_{p_1}, G_x and G_r, are first partial derivatives with respect to $t, s, wandr$ respectively. Also $G_{rp_1}, G_{rx}, G_{p_1 x}, G_{rr}, G_{p_1 p_1} and\ G_{xx}$ are second partial derivatives.

Differentiating (17) with respect to π gives

$[(\mu + d) - (r + \vartheta + \theta)]G_x + \lambda^2 G_{p_1 x} + \rho\sigma\lambda G_{rx} + \lambda^2\pi G_{xx} = 0$,(18)

andthe optimal strategy

$\pi^*_{d,\vartheta,\theta} = \frac{-[(\mu+d)-(r+\vartheta+\theta)]G_x}{\lambda^2 G_{xx}} - \frac{p_1 G_{p_1 x}}{G_{xx}} - \frac{\rho\sigma\lambda G_{rx}}{\lambda^2 G_{xx}}$,(19)

To eliminate the dependency on x, let the solution to the HJB equation (17) be

$G(t, r, p_1, x) = H(t, r, p_1)\frac{x^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi}$,(20)

with boundary condition

$H(T, r, p_1) = 1$,(21)

Thenwe obtain from (20)

$G_x = x^{-\phi}H,\ G_{xx} = -\phi x^{-\phi-1}H,\ G_{p_1 x} = x^{-\phi}H_{p_1}, G_{rx} = x^{-\phi}H_r$.(22)

Applying the equivalent of $G_x, G_{xx}, G_{p_1 x}, and\ G_{rx}$ from equation (19) and (22) gives

$\pi^*_{d,\vartheta,\theta} = \frac{[(\mu+d)-(r+\vartheta+\theta)]x}{\lambda^2} + \frac{p_1 x H_{p_1}}{\phi H} + \frac{\rho\sigma x H_r}{\lambda\phi H}$.(23)

To eliminate dependency on p_1, we further conjecture that

$H(t, r, p_1) = \frac{{p_1}^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi}I(t, r)$,(24) where

$$I(T,r)=\frac{1-\phi}{{p_1}^{1-\phi}}.(25)$$

We obtain from (24)

$$H_r=\frac{{p_1}^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi}I_r, H_{p_1}={p_1}^{-\phi}I.(26)$$

Using (24) and (26)in (23) gives

$$\pi^*_{d,\vartheta,\theta}=\left[\frac{[(\mu+d)-(r+\vartheta+\theta)]x}{\lambda^2}+\frac{(1-\phi)x}{\phi}+\frac{\rho\sigma x I_r}{\lambda\phi I}\right].(27)$$

We conjecture further that

$$I(t,r)=\frac{r^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi}J(t),(28)$$

to eliminate dependency on r such that at the terminal time T,

$$J(T)=\frac{(1-\phi)^2}{(rp_1)^{1-\phi}}.(29)$$

From (28) we obtain,

$$I_r=r^{-\phi}J.(30)$$

Therefore equation (27) becomes

$$\pi^*_{d,\vartheta,\theta}=x\left[\frac{[(\mu+d)-(r+\vartheta+\theta)]}{\lambda^2}+\frac{1-\phi}{\phi}+\frac{(1-\phi)\rho\sigma}{\lambda\phi r}\right],(31)$$

the optimal investment in the riskyasset.

3.2. When there is consumption

The derivation of Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) partial differential starts with the Bellman;

$$G(t,r,p_1,x)=sup_\pi\left\{\frac{K^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi}+\frac{1}{1+\zeta}E[G(t+\Delta t,r',x')]\right\}. \qquad (32)$$

The actual utility over time interval of length Δt is $\frac{C^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi}\Delta t$ and the discounting over such period is expressed as $\frac{1}{1+\zeta\Delta t}$, $\zeta>0$.

Therefore, the Bellman equation becomes;

$$G(t,r,p_1,x)=sup_\pi\left\{\frac{K^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi}\Delta t+\frac{1}{1+\vartheta\Delta t}E[G(t+\Delta t,r',{p_1}',x')]\right\}. \qquad (33)$$

The multiplication of (13) by $(1+\zeta\Delta t)$ and rearranging terms obtains;

$$\vartheta G(t,r,p_1,x)\Delta t=sup_\pi\left\{\frac{K^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi}\Delta t\,(1+\zeta\Delta t)+E(\Delta G)\right\}. \qquad (34)$$

Dividing (14) by Δt and taking limit to zero, obtains the Bellman equation;

$$\zeta G=sup_\pi\left\{\frac{K^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi}+\frac{1}{dt}E(dG)\right\}. \qquad (35)$$

Applying the maximum principle obtains the corresponding Hamilton-Jacob-Bellman equation (HJB) as

$$\frac{k^{1-b}}{1-b}+G_t+\mu p_1 G_{p_1}+\alpha(\beta-r)G_r+\{[(\mu+d)-(r+\vartheta+\theta)]\pi+rx-k\}G_x+\rho\sigma x p_1 G_{rp_1}+$$
$$\rho\sigma\lambda\pi G_{rx}+\lambda^2\pi p_1 x G_{p_1}+\frac{1}{2}\left[\sigma^2 G_{rr}+\lambda^2{p_1}^2G_{p_1p_1}+\lambda^2\pi^2G_{xx}\right]-\zeta G=0.(36)$$

$G_t, G_{p_1} and\ G_x$are first partial derivatives $G_{rp_1}, G_{rx}, G_{p_1x}, G_{rr}, G_{p_1p_1} and G_{xx}$ are second order partial derivatives.

Differentiating (36) with respect to π gives the optimal investment in the risky asset as;

$$\pi^*=\frac{-[(\mu+d)-(r+\vartheta+\theta)]G_x}{\lambda^2G_{xx}}-\frac{\rho\sigma}{\lambda}\frac{G_{rx}}{G_{xx}}-\frac{p_1G_{p_1}}{G_{xx}}. (37)$$

To cope with this, it is conjectured that a solution of the form

$G(t,r,p_1,x) = \frac{x^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi} J(t,r,p_1)$, (38)

such that

$J(T,r,p_1) = 1$,(39)

eliminates the dependency on x.

From (38) we obtain

$G_x = x^{-b}J, G_{xx} = -\phi x^{-\phi-1}J, G_s = \frac{x^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi} J_{p_1}, G_{rx} = x^{-\phi}J_r$.(40)

Applying the equivalents of G_x,G_{rx}, G_{p_1x}, and $G_{xx}$from (40) to (37) yields

$\pi^* = \frac{[(\mu+d)-(r+\vartheta+\theta)]x}{\phi\lambda^2} + \frac{\rho\sigma x J_r}{\phi\lambda J} + \frac{p_1 x J_{p_1}}{\phi\, J}$. (41)

To continue we conjecture that

$J(t,r,p_1) = H(t,r)\frac{{p_1}^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi}$, (42)

such that

$H(T,r) = \frac{1-b}{{p_1}^{1-b}}$,(43)

at the terminal time T, and dependency on p_1 eliminated.

Obtained from (42) are

$J_r = \frac{{p_1}^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi} H_r; J_{p_1} = {p_1}^{-\phi} H$.(44)

The application of the equivalents of J_r and J_{p_1} from (44) and (42) to (41) gives

$\pi^* = \frac{[(\mu+d)-(r+\vartheta+\theta)]x}{\phi\lambda^2} + \frac{1-\phi}{\phi} x + \frac{\rho\sigma x}{\phi\lambda}\frac{H_r}{H}$,(45)

as the optimal investment is the risky asset.

To eliminate the dependency on r, the conjecture that

$H(t,r) = I(t)\frac{r^{1-\phi}}{1-b}$, (46)

is used such that

$I(T) = \frac{(1-\phi)^2}{(rp_1)^{1-\phi}}$, (47)

at the terminal time T.

From (46) we obtain

$H_r = r^{-\phi} I$.(48)

Applying the equivalent of H_r from (48) to (45) yields

$$\pi^* = \frac{[(\mu+d)-(r+\vartheta+\theta)]x}{\phi\lambda^2} + \frac{(1-\phi)x}{\phi} + \frac{(1-\phi)\rho\sigma x}{r\phi\lambda}$$

$= \frac{x}{\phi}\left[\frac{[(\mu+d)-(r+\vartheta+\theta)]}{\lambda^2} + (1-\phi)\left(1+\frac{\rho\sigma}{r\lambda}\right)\right]$. (49)

3.3. The effect of the consumption

We shall assume that $\phi \neq 1$and $\phi > 0$.

Let π^{*NC} and π^{*C} denote the optimal investment in the risky asset when there is no consumption and when there is consumption respectively. Therefore we have the following:

1. When there is no consumption; equation (31) gives;

$\pi^{*NC}_{d,\vartheta,\theta} = x\left[\frac{[(\mu+d)-(r+\vartheta+\theta)]}{\lambda^2} + \frac{(1-\phi)}{\phi} + \frac{(1-\phi)\rho\sigma}{\lambda r\phi}\right]$.(50)

2. When there is consumption, equation (49) becomes,

$$\pi^{*C}_{d,\vartheta,\theta} = \frac{x}{\phi}\left[\frac{[(\mu+d)-(r+\vartheta+\theta)]}{\lambda^2} + (1-\phi)\left(1+\frac{\rho\sigma}{r\lambda}\right)\right].(51)$$

Taking ratio gives:

$$\frac{\pi^{*NC}_{d,\vartheta,\theta}}{\pi^{*C}_{d,\vartheta,\theta}} = \frac{\left[x\left[\frac{[(\mu+d)-(r+\vartheta+\theta)]}{\lambda^2}+\frac{1-\phi}{\phi}+\frac{(1-\phi)\rho\sigma}{\lambda r\phi}\right]\right]}{\left[\frac{x}{\phi}\left[\frac{[(\mu+d)-(r+\vartheta+\theta)]}{\lambda^2}+(1-\phi)\left(1+\frac{\rho\sigma}{r\lambda}\right)\right]\right]}.(52)$$

Notice:

1. $\lim_{\phi\to 1}\left[\frac{\pi^{*NC}_{d,\vartheta,\theta}}{\pi^{*C}_{d,\vartheta,\theta}}\right] = 1.(53)$

2. $\lim_{\phi\to\infty}\left[\frac{\pi^{*NC}_{d,\vartheta,\theta}}{\pi^{*C}_{d,\vartheta,\theta}}\right] = 1 - \left[\frac{r[(\mu+d)-(r+\vartheta+\theta)]}{\lambda(\lambda r+\rho\sigma)}\right].(54)$

Since the investor holds the risky asset as long as $[(\mu+d)-(r+\vartheta+\theta)] > 0$ and λ, r, ρ, σ are all positive constants, then

$$\left[\frac{r[(\mu+d)-(r+\vartheta+\theta)]}{\lambda(\lambda r+\rho\sigma)}\right] = k, \qquad (55)$$

is positive, therefore,

$$\lim_{\phi\to\infty}\left[\frac{\pi^{*NC}_{d,\vartheta,\theta}}{\pi^{*C}_{d,\vartheta,\theta}}\right] = 1 - k. \qquad (56)$$

This implies that the limit of the investment in risky asset when there is no consumption is less than that of when there is consumption. Put in another way, when there is consumption, more fund is required for investment in the risky asset to keep the investor solvent.

3.4. Findings

1.When there is no consumption:

Equation (31)

$$\pi^{*NC}_{d,\vartheta,\theta} = x\left[\frac{[(\mu+d)-(r+\vartheta+\theta)]}{\lambda^2} + \frac{1-\phi}{\phi} + \frac{(1-\phi)\rho\sigma}{\lambda\phi r}\right]$$

shows that the investment in the risky a fraction of the total amount available for investment which becomes dependent on x,ρ, σ, λ,r and ϕ whenever $[(\mu+d)-(r+\vartheta+\theta)] = 0$.

2. When there is consumption:

It can be seen from equation (49)

$$\pi^{*C}_{d,\vartheta,\theta} = \frac{x}{\phi}\left[\frac{[(\mu+d)-(r+\vartheta+\theta)]}{\lambda^2} + (1-\phi)\left(1+\frac{\rho\sigma}{r\lambda}\right)\right].$$

that the optimal investment is a ratio of the total amount available for investment and the relative risk aversion coefficient.

3. From the effect of consumption, more fund is required for investment on the risky asset when There is consumption to keep the investor solvent.

4. Conclusions

This work investigated the effect of consumption on the investment strategy of an investor. It assumed that the price process of the risk less asset hasa rate of return that is driven Ornstein-Uhlenbeck model. Using themaximum principle and conjectures on elimination of variables obtained the optimal investment strategy of investor who has power utility preference where taxes, transaction costs and dividend payments are charged and paid.

It was found that the investment in the risky a fraction of the total amount available for investment which becomes dependent on x,ρ, σ, λ,r and ϕ whenever $[(\mu + d) - (r + \vartheta + \theta)] = 0$, when there was no consumption, while when there was consumption, the optimal investment in the risky asset was a ratio of the total amount available for investment and the relative risk aversion coefficient. Also, consumption resulted that more fund is required for investment on the risky asset if the investor is to remain in business.

References

[1] R. C. Merton, "Lifetime portfolio selection under uncertainty:the continuous-time case," The Review of Economics and Statistics,vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 247–257, 1969.

[2] R. C. Merton, "Optimum consumption and portfolio rules in acontinuous-timemodel," Journal of EconomicTheory, vol. 3, no.4, pp. 373–413, 1971.

[3] M. J. Magill and G. M. Constantinides, "Portfolio selection with transactions costs", Journal of EconomicTheory, 13:245–263, 1976

[4] P. Guasoni and J. Muhle-Karb, "Portfolio choice with transaction costs: a user's guide", In Paris-Princeton Lectures on Mathematical Finance 2013, 169–201. Springer, 2013.

[5] R. Elie and N. Touzi, "Optimal lifetime consumption and investment under a drawdown constraint", Finance and Stochastics, 12:299–330, 2008.

[6] D. Cuoco and J. Cvitani´c, "Optimal consumption choices for a large investor', Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control, 22(3):401–436, 1998.

[7] T. Zariphopoulou, "Optimal investment and consumption models with non-linear stock dynamics", Mathematical Methods of Operations Research, 50(2):271–296, 1999.

[8] G. Chacko and L. M. Viceira, "Dynamic consumption and portfolio choice with stochastic volatility in incomplete markets", Review of Financial Studies, 18(4):1369–1402, 2005.

[9] J.P. Fouque, R. Sircar, and T. Zariphopoulou, "Portfolio optimization & stochastic volatility asymptotics", Mathematical Finance, 2015.

[10] M. Lorig and R. Sircar, "Portfolio optimization under local-stochastic volatility: Coefficient Taylor series approximations and implied sharpe ratio", SIAM Journal on Financial Mathematics, 7(1):418–447, 2016.

[11] R. Cont, "Long range dependence in financial markets", In Fractals in Engineering, pages 159–179. Springer, 2005.

[12] A. Chronopoulou and F. G. Viens, "Estimation and pricing under long-memory stochastic volatility', Annals of Finance, 8(2):379–403, 2012.

[13] S. E. Shreve and H. M. Soner, "Optimal investment andconsumption with transaction costs," The Annals of AppliedProbability, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 609–692, 1994.

[14] M. Akian, J. L. Menaldi, and A. Sulem, "On an investmentconsumptionmodel with transaction costs," SIAM Journal onControl and Optimization, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 329–364, 1996.

[15] K. Janeˇcek and S. E. Shreve, "Asymptotic analysis for optimalinvestment and consumption with transaction costs," Financeand Stochastics, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 181–206, 2004.

1[6] W. H. Fleming and T. Zariphopoulou, "An optimal investment/consumption model with borrowing," Mathematics ofOperations Research, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 802–822, 1991.

[17] J.L. Vila and T. Zariphopoulou, "Optimal consumption andportfolio choice with borrowing constraints," Journal of EconomicTheory,vol. 77, no. 2, pp. 402–431, 1997.

[18] S. A. Ihedioha, “Investor’s Power Utility Optimization with Consumption, Tax, Dividend and Transaction Cost under Constant Elasticity of Variance Model” Asian Research Journal of Mathematics, 4(2): 1-12, 2017.
[19] R. Yao and H. H. Zhang, “Optimal consumption and portfoliochoices with risky housing and borrowing constraints,” TheReview of Financial Studies, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 197–239, 2005.
[20] J. C. Cox andS. A. Ross, “The valuationofoptions for alternativestochastic processes,” Journal of Financial Economics, vol. 3, no.1-2, pp. 145–166, 1976.
[21] M. Schroder, “Computing the constant elasticity of varianceoption pricing formula,” Journal of Finance, vol. 44, no. 1, pp.211–219, 1989.
[22] C. F. Lo, P. H. Yuen, and C. H. Hui, “Constant elasticity of varianceoption pricing model with time-dependent parameters,”International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance, vol. 3,no. 4, pp. 661–674, 2000.
[23] P. B. Phelim and S. T. Yisong, “Pricing lookback and barrieroptions under the CEV process,” Journal of Financial andQuantitative Analysis, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 241–264, 1999.
[24] D. Davydov and V. Linetsky, “Pricing and hedging pathdependentoptions under the CEV process,” Management Science,vol. 47, no. 7, pp. 949–965, 2001.
[25] J. Xiao, Z.Hong, and C.Qin, “The constant elasticity of variance(CEV) model and the Legendre transform-dual solution forannuity contracts,” Insurance: Mathematics and Economics, vol.40, no. 2, pp. 302–310, 2007.
[26] J. Gao, “Optimal investment strategy for annuity contractsunder the constant elasticity of variance (CEV) model,” Insurance:Mathematics and Economics, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 9–18, 2009.
[27] J. Gao, “An extended CEV model and the Legendre transformdual-asymptotic solutions for annuity contracts,” Insurance:Mathematics and Economics, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 511–530, 2010.
[28] M. Gu, Y. Yang, S. Li, and J. Zhang, “Constant elasticity ofvariance model for proportional reinsurance and investmentstrategies,” Insurance: Mathematics and Economics, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 580–587, 2010.
[29] X. Lin and Y. Li, “Optimal reinsurance and investment fora jump diffusion risk process under the CEV model,” NorthAmerican Actuarial Journal, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 417–431, 2011.
[30] A. Gu, X. Guo, Z. Li, and Y. Zeng, “Optimal control of excess ofloss reinsurance and investment for insurers under a CEVmodel,” Insurance: Mathematics and Economics, vol. 51, no. 3,pp. 674–684, 2012.
[31] E. J. Jung and J. H. Kim, “Optimal investment strategies for theHARA utility under the constant elasticity of variance model,”Insurance: Mathematics and Economics, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 667–673, 2012.
[32] H. Zhao and X. Rong, “Portfolio selection problem with multiple risky assets under the constant elasticity of variance model,” Insurance: Mathematics and Economics, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 179–190, 2012
[33] S.A. Ihedioha,”Optimal Asset Allocation Problem for an Investor with Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Stochastic Interest Rate Model”, Asian Journal of Mathematics and Computer Research, 19(1): 33-41, 2017.
[34] S.A. Ihedioha, “Investor’s Power Utility Optimization with Consumption, Tax, Dividend and Transaction Cost under Constant Elasticity of Variance Model”, Asian Research Journal of Mathematics, 4(2): 1-12, 2017.
[35] H. Chang, X. Rong, H. Zhao and C. Zhang, “ Optimal Investment and Consumption Decisions under Constant Elasticity of Variance Model”, Hindawi Publishing Corporation, Mathematical Problems in Engineering, vol.2013,1-11, 2013.