



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Mathematics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_33989
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Population Question in Nigeria: Models and Reliable Projections</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Short research article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	I have reviewed the manuscript entitled "The Population Question in Nigeria: Models and 2 Reliable Projections." I have seen several papers related to this manuscript on Google. This author has used some different data for reliable projections and there are no geometrical/graphical representations/models along with computing code.	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Chinnaraji Annamalai</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur, India</i></b>