Original Research Article

ON THE IMPACT OF CONSUMPTION ON AN INVESTOR'S STRATEGY UNDER STOCHASTIC INTEREST RATE AND CORRELATING BROWNIAN MOTIONS

8 Abstract

This work considered an investor's portfolio to comprise of two assets- a risk-free asset driven 10 by Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Stochastic interest rate of return model and a risky stock which price 11 process is governed by the geometric Brownian motion where consumption, taxes, transaction 12 costs and dividends are in involved. The aim was to investigate the effect of consumption on an 13 14 investor's trading strategy under correlating Brownian motions. Using maximum principle the relating Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation was obtained. The application of elimination 15 of variable dependency gave optimal investment strategy for the investor's problem. Among the 16 findings is that more fund should be made available for investment on the risky asset when there 17 is consumption to keep the investor solvent. 18

19

1 2

6 7

9

Keywords: effect of consumption, Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation, optimal
 investment, Ornstein-Uhlenbeck, power utility, stochastic interest rate, maximum principle.

22

23 **MSC 2010:**62P05; 65C 30.

24

25 **1. Introduction**

26

27 In the field of mathematical finance asset allocation problems in continuous time framework are among the most widely studied problems, and dates back to Merton [1, 2]. In the Merton's 28 original work provided explicit solutions on how one's expected utility is maximized while 29 30 trading on stocks and consumption taking place as the underlying assets follow the Black-Scholes-Merton model with specific utility preference. After these pioneer works, many 31 researches have been done and more are going on in many facets of Mathematical Finance. 32 Among them, some allow for imperfections in the financial markets, Magill and Constantinides 33 [3]. In the case of transaction costs, Guasoni and Muhle-Karbe [4], have made contributions. For 34 investment under drawdown constraint, contributors include, Elie and Touzi [5]. In the case of 35

- trading with price impact we have, Cuoco and Cvitani´c [6] etc.
- 37 In the area of the volatility being stochastic, contributors include Zariphopoulou [7], Chacko and Viceira [8] Fouque et al. [0] and Lorig and Sirear [10]
- Viceira [8], Fouque et al. [9] and Lorig and Sircar [10].
- 39 Empirical studies have shown that non-Markovian (dependence) structure models in long-term
- 40 investment which is much related to daily data and long range dependence exhibits in both return
- 41 and volatility describe the data better, (Cont [11], Chronopoulou and Viens [12]).
- 42 The introduction of transaction costs into the investment and consumption problems follow from
- 43 the works of, Shreve and Soner [13], Akian et al. [14], and Jane cek and Shreve [15].
- 44 Investigators into optimal consumption problem with borrowing constraints include, Fleming
- 45 and Zariphopoulou [16], Vila and Zariphopoulou [17], Ihedioha [18] and Yao and Zhang [19].

The mentioned models were studies under the assumption that the risky asset's price dynamics was driven by the geometric Brownian motion (GBM) and the risk-free asset with a rate of return

48 that is assumed constant. Some authors have studied the problem under the extension of

49 geometric Brownian motion (GBM) called the constant elasticity of variance (CEV) model

50 which is a natural extension of the geometric Brownian motion (GBM). The constant elasticity 51 of variance (CEV) model has an advantage that the volatility rate has correlation with the risky

of variance (CEV) model has an advantage that the volatility rate has correlation with the risky asset price. Cox and Ross originally proposed the use of constant elasticity of variance (CEV)

53 model as an alternative diffusion process for pricing European option; Cox and Ross [20].

54 Schroder [21], Lo et al. [22], Phelim and Yisong [23], and Davydov and Linetsky [24] have

applied it to analyze the option pricing formula. Further applications of the constant elasticity of variance (CEV) model, in the recent years, has been in the areas of annuity contracts and the

57 optimal investment strategies in the utility framework using dynamic programming principle.

Detailed discussions can be found in, Xiao et al.[25], Gao [26, 27], Gu et al. [28], Lin and Li [29], Gu et al.[30], Jung and Kim [31] and Zhao and Rong [32].

This paper aims at investigating and giving a closed form solution to an investment and consumption decision problem where the risk-free asset has a rate of return that is driven by the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Stochastic interest rate of return model. Dynamic programming principle, specifically, the maximum principle is applied to obtain the HJB equation for the value function.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In section 2 is the problem formulation and the

model. In section 3, maximum principle is applied to obtain, the HJB equation, the optimal investment strategy and the impact of consumption investigated. Section 4 concludes the paper.

67

68 **2. The problem formulation:**

69 Two cases are considered in the work, thus;

- 70 1. When there is no consumption
- 71 2. When there is consumption

72 **2.1.** When there is no consumption

73 We assume that an investor trades two assets in an economy continuously-c riskless asset (bond) 74 and a risky asset (stock), Let the price of the riskless asset be denoted by P(t) with a rate of 75 returnr(t) which is stochastic and driven by the Orinstein-Uhlenbeck model. That is

$$dP(t) = r(t)P(t)dt$$

77 where

76

78

82

$$dr(t) = \alpha \left(\beta - r(t)\right) dt + \sigma dz_1(t) : r(0) = r_0$$
⁽²⁾

(1)

where
$$\alpha$$
 is the speed of mean reversion, β the mean level attracting the interest rate and σ the constant volatility of the interest rate. $Z_1(t)$ is a standard Brownian motion. Also, let the price of

81 the risky asset be denoted by $P_1(t)$ with the process

$$dP_{1}(t) = P_{1}(t)[\mu dt + \lambda dZ_{2}(t)],$$
(3)

83 where μ and λ are constants and μ the drift parameter while λ is the diffusion parameter. $z_2(t)$ is 84 another standard Brownian motion.

Through this work, we assume a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \rho)$ and a filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$. Uncertainty in the models are generated by the Brownian motions $Z_1(t)$ and $Z_2(t)$.

Example 27 Let $\pi(t)$ to the amount of money the investor decides to put in the risky asset at time t, then the

- balance $[X(t) \pi(t)]$ is the amount to be invested in the riskless assets, where w(t) is the total
- 89 amount of money available for investment.
- 90 Assumption:

We assume that transaction cost, tax and dividend are paid on the amount invested in the risky 91 asset at constant rates, σ , θ and d respectively. Therefore for any policy π , the total wealth 92 process of the investor follows the stochastic differential equation (SDE) 93

94

96

$$dX^{\pi}(t) = \pi(t)\frac{dP_1(t)}{P_1(t)} + [X(t) - \pi(t)]\frac{dP(t)}{P(t)} - (\vartheta + \theta - d)\pi(t)dt.$$
(4)

95 Applying (1) and (3) in (4) gives

 $dX^{\pi}(t) = \{ [(\mu + d) - (r(t) + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi(t) + r(t)X(t) \} dt + \lambda \pi(t) dZ_2(t).$ (5)

Suppose the investor has a utility function U(.) which is strictly concave and continuously 97 differentiable on $(-\infty, +\infty)$ and wishes to maximize his expected utility of terminal wealth, then 98 his problem can therefore be written as 99

$$\max_{\pi} \epsilon[U(X(T))] \tag{6}$$

subject to (5). 101

This work assumes a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) and a filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$, and uncertainties in the 102 models are generated by the Brownian motions $Z_1(t)$ and $Z_2(t)$. 103

104

100

105 **Case 2: When there is consumption**

106

126

Further assumptions is that consumption withdrawals are made from the risk-free account, 107 therefore for any trading strategy $(\pi(t), K(t))$ the total wealth process of the investor follows the 108 stochastic differential equation (SDE) 109

110
$$dX(t) = \pi(t)\frac{dP_1(t)}{P_1(t)} + [X(t) - \pi(t)]\frac{dP(t)}{P(t)} - [(\vartheta + \theta - d)\pi(t) + K(t)]dt,$$
(7)

where K(t) is the rate of consumption. 111

112 Applying (2) and (3) in (4) obtains:

113
$$dX(t) = \pi(t)[\mu dt + \lambda dZ_2(t)] + [X(t) - \pi(t)]r(t)dt - [(\vartheta + \theta - d)\pi(t) + K(t)]dt.$$
 (8)
114 which becomes

 $dX(t) = \{ [(\mu + d) - (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi(t) + r(t)X(t) - K(t) \} dt + \lambda \pi(t) dZ_2(t).$ (9)115

Definition: (admissible strategy). An investment and consumption $(\pi(t), K(t))$ strategy is said to 116 be admissible if the following conditions are satisfied: 117

120 111. $E\left[\int_{0}\left(\lambda^{-}n^{-}(t)\right)dt\right] < 1$ (11)For \forall ($\pi(t), k(t)$), the stochastic differential equation (9) has a unique 121 iv.

solution, Chang et al. [33]. 122

Assuming the set of all admissible investment and consumption strategies $(\pi(t), k(t))$ is 123 denoted by $B = [(\pi(t), k(t)): 0 \le t \le T]$, then the investor's problem can be stated 124 mathematically thus: 125

$$\operatorname{Max}_{[\pi(t),k(t)]\in B} E[(U(X(T)].$$
(12)

127

This study considers the power utility function given by $U(X(t)) = \frac{X^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi}; \phi \neq 1.$ (13)128

Using the classical tools of stochastic optimal control where consumption is involved, define the 129 value function at time t as: 130

$$G(t,r(t),P_{1}(t),X(t)) = {}^{sup}_{B} E\left[\int_{0}^{T} e^{-\varrho\tau} \frac{K^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi} d\tau + e^{-\varrho\tau} \frac{X_{T}^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi}\right];$$

 $P_1(t) = p_1; X(t) = x; r(t) = r, K(t) = k; 0 < t < T$ 131 (14)Therefore the investor's problem becomes 132 $G(t, r, p_1, x) = \sup_{[\pi(t), k(t)] \in B} E\left[\int_0^T e^{-\varrho \tau} \frac{k^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi} d\tau + e^{-\varrho T} \frac{x^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi}\right]$ (15)133 134 subject to (9). 135 3. The Optimal investment strategy for the power utility function 136 137 Here we obtain the explicit strategies for the optimization problem using the maximum principle 138 and stochastic control. 139 140 3.1. When there is no consumption 141 142 Define the value function as 143 $G(t, r, p_1, x) = \frac{Max}{\pi} [\epsilon(U(w))] = 0; U(T, W) = U(w), 0 < t < T$ $r(t) = r_1 X(t) = x_1 P_1(t) = p_1,$ 144 (16)then the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation (HJB) is 145 $G_t + \alpha(\beta - r)G_r + \mu p_1G_{p_1} + \{[(\mu + d) - (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi + rx\}G_x + \lambda^2 p_1\pi G_{p_1x} + \rho\sigma p_1G_{rp_1} + (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi + rx\}G_x + \lambda^2 p_1\pi G_{p_1x} + \rho\sigma p_1G_{rp_1} + (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi + rx\}G_x + \lambda^2 p_1\pi G_{p_1x} + \rho\sigma p_1G_{rp_1} + (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi + rx\}G_x + \lambda^2 p_1\pi G_{p_1x} + \rho\sigma p_1G_{rp_1} + (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi + rx\}G_x + \lambda^2 p_1\pi G_{p_1x} + \rho\sigma p_1G_{rp_1} + (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi + rx\}G_x + \lambda^2 p_1\pi G_{p_1x} + \rho\sigma p_1G_{rp_1} + (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi + rx\}G_x + \lambda^2 p_1\pi G_{p_1x} + \rho\sigma p_1G_{rp_1} + (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi + rx\}G_x + \lambda^2 p_1\pi G_{p_1x} + \rho\sigma p_1G_{rp_1} + (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi + rx\}G_x + \lambda^2 p_1\pi G_{p_1x} + \rho\sigma p_1G_{rp_1} + (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi + rx\}G_x + \lambda^2 p_1\pi G_{p_1x} + \rho\sigma p_1G_{rp_1} + (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi + rx\}G_x + \lambda^2 p_1\pi G_{p_1x} + \rho\sigma p_1G_{rp_1} + (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi + rx\}G_x + \lambda^2 p_1\pi G_{p_1x} + \rho\sigma p_1G_{rp_1} + (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi + rx\}G_x + \lambda^2 p_1\pi G_{p_1x} + \rho\sigma p_1G_{rp_1} + (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi + rx\}G_x + \lambda^2 p_1\pi G_{p_1x} + \rho\sigma p_1G_{rp_1} + (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi + rx\}G_x + \lambda^2 p_1\pi G_{p_1x} + \rho\sigma p_1G_{rp_1} + (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi + rx\}G_x + \mu^2 P_1 + (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi + rx\}G_x + \mu^2 P_1 + (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi + rx\}G_x + \mu^2 P_1 + (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi + rx\}G_x + \mu^2 P_1 + (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi + rx\}G_x + \mu^2 P_1 + (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi + rx\}G_x + \mu^2 P_1 + (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi + rx\}G_x + \mu^2 P_1 + (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi + rx\}G_x + \mu^2 P_1 + (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi + rx\}G_x + \mu^2 P_1 + (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi + rx\}G_x + \mu^2 P_1 + (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi + rx$ K}G_x + \mu^2 P_1 + (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi + rxK}G_x + \mu^2 P_1 + (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi + rxK}G_x + \mu^2 P_1 + (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi + rxK}G_x + \mu^2 P_1 + (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi + rxK}G_x + \mu^2 P_1 + (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi + rxK}G_x + \mu^2 P_1 + (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi + rxK}G_x + \mu^2 P_1 + (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi + (r + \theta)]\pi + \mu^2 P_1 + (r + \theta)]\pi + \mu^2 P_1 + (r + \theta)]\pi + (r 146 $\rho\sigma\lambda\pi G_{rx} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\sigma^2 G_{rr} + \lambda^2 p_1^{\ 2} G_{p_1p_1} + \lambda^2 \pi^2 G_{xx} \right] = 0$ 147 (17)where the Brownian motions have correlate correlation coefficient ρ . 148 G_t, G_{p_1}, G_x and G_r , are first partial derivatives with respect to t, s, wandr respectively. Also 149 $G_{rp_1}, G_{rx}, G_{p_1x}, G_{rr}, G_{p_1p_1}$ and G_{xx} are second partial derivatives. 150 151 Differentiating (17) with respect to π gives $[(\mu + d) - (r + \vartheta + \theta)]G_x + \lambda^2 G_{p_1x} + \rho \sigma \lambda G_{rx} + \lambda^2 \pi G_{xx} = 0,$ 152 (18)153 and the optimal strategy $\pi_{d,\vartheta,\theta}^* = \frac{-[(\mu+d)-(r+\vartheta+\theta)]G_x}{\lambda^2 G_{xx}} - \frac{p_1 G_{p_1x}}{G_{xx}} - \frac{\rho \sigma \lambda G_{rx}}{\lambda^2 G_{xx}},$ To eliminate the dependency on *x*, let the solution to the HJB equation (17) be 154 (19)155 $G(t,r,p_1,x) = H(t,r,p_1)\frac{x^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi},$ 156 (20)with boundary condition 157 $H(T, r, p_1) = 1$ 158 (21)Then we obtain from (20) 159 $G_x = x^{-\phi}H, \ G_{xx} = -\phi x^{-\phi-1}H, \ G_{p_1x} = x^{-\phi}H_{p_1}, G_{rx} = x^{-\phi}H_r.$ 160 (22)Applying the equivalent of G_x , G_{xx} , G_{p_1x} , and G_{rx} from equation (22) to (19) gives $\pi^*_{d,\vartheta,\theta} = \frac{[(\mu+d)-(r+\vartheta+\theta)]x}{\lambda^2} + \frac{p_1xH_{p_1}}{\phi H} + \frac{\rho\sigma xH_r}{\lambda\phi H}.$ 161 162 (23)To eliminate dependency on p_1 , we further conjecture that 163 $H(t,r,p_1) = \frac{p_1^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi}I(t,r),$ 164 (24)165 where $I(T,r) = \frac{1-\phi}{p_1^{1-\phi}}.$ (25)166 We obtain the following from (24) 167 $H_r = \frac{p_1^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi} I_r, H_{p_1} = p_1^{-\phi} I.$ 168 (26)169 Using (24) and (26) in (23) gives

 $\pi_{d,\vartheta,\theta}^* = \left[\frac{[(\mu+d)-(r+\vartheta+\theta)]x}{\lambda^2} + \frac{(1-\phi)x}{\phi} + \frac{\rho\sigma x I_r}{\lambda\phi I}\right]$ (27)170 We conjecture further that 171 $I(t,r) = \frac{r^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi}J(t),$ (28)172 to eliminate dependency on r such that at the terminal time T, 173 $J(T) = \frac{(1-\phi)^2}{(rn_1)^{1-\phi}}.$ 174 (29)From (28) obtains, 175 $I_r = r^{-\phi} I_r$ (30)176 Therefore equation (27) becomes 177 $\pi_{d,\vartheta,\theta}^* = x \left[\frac{\left[(\mu+d) - (r+\vartheta+\theta) \right]}{\lambda^2} + \frac{1-\phi}{\phi} + \frac{(1-\phi)\rho\sigma}{\lambda\phi r} \right],$ 178 (31)179 the optimal investment in the risky asset 180 **3.2.** When there is consumption 181 182 The derivation of Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) partial differential starts with the Bellman; 183 $G(t,r,p_1,x) = \sup_{\pi} \left\{ \frac{K^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi} + \frac{1}{1+\zeta} E[G(t+\Delta t,r',x')] \right\}.$ 184 (32)The actual utility over time interval of length Δt is $\frac{C^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi}\Delta t$ and the discounting over such 185 period is expressed as $\frac{1}{1+\zeta \wedge t}$, $\zeta > 0$. 186 Therefore, the Bellman equation becomes; 187 $G(t, r, p_1, x) = \sup_{\pi} \left\{ \frac{K^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi} \Delta t + \frac{1}{1+\psi\Delta t} E[G(t+\Delta t, r', p_1', x')] \right\}.$ 188 (33)The multiplication of (13) by $(1 + \zeta \Delta t)$ and rearranging terms obtains; 189 $\vartheta G(t,r,p_1,x)\Delta t = \sup_{\pi} \left\{ \frac{K^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi} \Delta t \left(1 + \zeta \Delta t \right) + E(\Delta G) \right\}.$ (34)190 Dividing (14) by Δt and taking limit to zero, obtains the Bellman equation; 191 $\zeta G = \sup_{\pi} \left\{ \frac{K^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi} + \frac{1}{dt} E(dG) \right\}.$ (35)192 Applying the maximum principle obtains the corresponding Hamilton-Jacob-Bellman equation 193 (HJB) as 194 $\frac{k^{1-b}}{1-b} + G_t + \mu p_1 G_{p_1} + \alpha(\beta - r)G_r + \{[(\mu + d) - (r + \vartheta + \theta)]\pi + rx - k\}G_x + \rho\sigma x p_1 G_{rp_1} + \beta\sigma x p_1$ 195 $\rho \sigma \lambda \pi G_{rx} + \lambda^2 \pi p_1 x G_{p_1} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\sigma^2 G_{rr} + \lambda^2 p_1^2 G_{p_1 p_1} + \lambda^2 \pi^2 G_{xx} \right] - \zeta G = 0.$ 196 (36) G_t, G_{p_1} and G_x are first partial derivatives $G_{rp_1}, G_{rx}, G_{p_1x}, G_{rr}, G_{p_1p_1}$ and G_{xx} are second order 197 partial derivatives. 198 Differentiating (36) with respect to π gives the optimal investment in the risky asset as; $\pi^* = \frac{-[(\mu+d)-(r+\vartheta+\theta)]G_x}{\lambda^2 G_{xx}} - \frac{\rho\sigma}{\lambda} \frac{G_{rx}}{G_{xx}} - \frac{p_1 G_{p_1}}{G_{xx}}.$ (3) 199 200 (37)To cope with this, it is conjectured that a solution of the for 201 $G(t,r,p_1,x) = \frac{x^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi}J(t,r,p_1),$ 202 (38)such that 203 $I(T, r, p_1) = 1.$ 204 (39)Eliminates the dependency on *x*. 205

From (38) obtain 206 $G_x = x^{-b}J, G_{xx} = -\phi x^{-\phi-1}J, G_s = \frac{x^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi}J_{p_1}, G_{rx} = x^{-\phi}J_r.$ 207 Applying the equivalents of G_x , G_{rx} , G_{p_1x} , and G_{xx} from (40) to (37) yields $\pi^* = \frac{[(\mu+d)-(r+\vartheta+\theta)]x}{\phi\lambda^2} + \frac{\rho\sigma x J_r}{\phi\lambda J} + \frac{p_1 x J_{p_1}}{\phi J}.$ 208 209 To continue we conjecture that 210 $J(t,r,p_1) = H(t,r) \frac{p_1^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi},$ 211 212 such that $H(T,r)=\frac{1-b}{p_1^{1-b}},$ 213 at the terminal time T, and dependency on p_1 eliminated. 214 Obtained from (42) are 215 $J_r = \frac{p_1^{1-\phi}}{1-\phi} H_r; J_{p_1} = p_1^{-\phi} H.$ 216 The application of the equivalents of J_r and J_{p_1} from (44) and (42) to (41) gives the following $\pi^* = \frac{[(\mu+d)-(r+\vartheta+\theta)]x}{\phi\lambda^2} + \frac{1-\phi}{\phi}x + \frac{\rho\sigma x}{\phi\lambda}\frac{H_r}{H},$ (45) 217 218 for the optimal investment is the risky asset. 219 To eliminate the dependency on r, the conjecture that 220 $H(t,r)=I(t)\frac{r^{1-\phi}}{1-r},$ 221 is used such that 222 $I(T) = \frac{(1-\phi)^2}{(rn_1)^{1-\phi}},$ 223 at the terminal time T. 224 From (46) obtains 225 $H_r = r^{-\phi}I.$ 226 Applying the equivalent of H_r from (48) and (46) to (45) yields $\pi^* = \frac{[(\mu+d)-(r+\vartheta+\theta)]x}{\phi\lambda^2} + \frac{(1-\phi)x}{\phi} + \frac{(1-\phi)\rho\sigma x}{r\phi\lambda}$ 227 228 $= \frac{x}{4} \left[\frac{\left[(\mu+d) - (r+\vartheta+\theta) \right]}{12} + (1-\phi) \left(1 + \frac{\rho\sigma}{r\lambda} \right) \right].$ 229 230 3.3. The effect of the consumption 231 232 We shall assume that $\phi \neq 1$ and $\phi > 0$. 233 Let π^{*NC} and π^{*C} denote the optimal investment in the risky asset when there is no 234 consumption and when there is consumption respectively. Therefore we have the following: 235 1. When there is no consumption; equation (31) gives; 236 $\pi_{d,\vartheta,\theta}^{*NC} = x \left[\frac{\left[(\mu+d) - (r+\vartheta+\theta) \right]}{\lambda^2} + \frac{(1-\phi)}{\phi} + \frac{(1-\phi)\rho\sigma}{\lambda r\phi} \right].$ 237 238 2. When there is consumption, equation (49) becomes, $\pi_{d,\vartheta,\theta}^{*C} = \frac{x}{\phi} \left[\frac{\left[(\mu+d) - (r+\vartheta+\theta) \right]}{\lambda^2} + (1-\phi) \left(1 + \frac{\rho\sigma}{r\lambda} \right) \right].$ 239 240 Taking ratio gives: 241

(40)

(41)

(42)

(43)

(44)

(46)

(47)

(48)

(49)

(50)

(51)

242
$$\frac{\pi_{d,\vartheta,\theta}^{*NC}}{\pi_{d,\vartheta,\theta}^{*C}} = \frac{\left[x \left[\frac{\left[(\mu+d)-(r+\vartheta+\theta)\right]}{\lambda^2} + \frac{1-\phi}{\phi} + \frac{(1-\phi)\rho\sigma}{\lambda r\phi}\right]}{\left[\frac{x}{\phi} \left[\frac{\left[(\mu+d)-(r+\vartheta+\theta)\right]}{\lambda^2} + (1-\phi)\left(1 + \frac{\rho\sigma}{r\lambda}\right)\right]}\right]}.$$
 (52)

243 Notice:

$$\lim_{\phi \to 1} \left[\frac{\pi^{*NC}_{d,\vartheta,\theta}}{\pi^{*C}_{d,\vartheta,\theta}} \right] = 1.$$
(53)

245

249

251

246 2.
$$\lim_{\phi \to \infty} \left[\frac{\pi^{*NC}}{\pi^{*C}_{d,\vartheta,\theta}} \right] = 1 - \left[\frac{r[(\mu+d)-(r+\vartheta+\theta)]}{\lambda(\lambda r+\rho\sigma)} \right] .$$
(54)

Since the investor holds the risky asset as long as $[(\mu + d) - (r + \vartheta + \theta)] > 0$ and λ, r, ρ, σ are all positive constants, the

$$\left[\frac{r[(\mu+d)-(r+\vartheta+\theta)]}{\lambda(\lambda r+\rho\sigma)}\right] = k , \qquad (55)$$

250 is positive So,

1.

 $\lim_{\phi \to \infty} \left[\frac{\pi_{d,\vartheta,\theta}^{*NC}}{\pi_{d,\vartheta,\theta}^{*C}} \right] = 1 - k.$ (56)

This implies that the limit of the investment in risky asset when there is no consumption is less than that of when there is consumption. Put in another way, when there is consumption, more fund is required for investment in the risky asset to keep the investor solvent.

255

257

260

265

256 **3.4. Findings**

258 1. When there is no consumption:

259 Equation (31)

$$\pi_{d,\vartheta,\theta}^{*NC} = x \left[\frac{\left[(\mu+d) - (r+\vartheta+\theta) \right]}{\lambda^2} + \frac{1-\phi}{\phi} + \frac{(1-\phi)\rho\sigma}{\lambda\phi r} \right]$$

- shows that the investment in the risky a fraction of the total amount available for investment
- which becomes dependent on x, ρ , σ , λ , r and ϕ whenever $[(\mu + d) (r + \vartheta + \theta)] = 0$.
- 263 2. When there is consumption:
- 264 It can be seen from equation (49)

$$\pi_{d,\vartheta,\theta}^{*C} = \frac{x}{\phi} \left[\frac{\left[(\mu+d) - (r+\vartheta+\theta) \right]}{\lambda^2} + (1-\phi) \left(1 + \frac{\rho\sigma}{r\lambda} \right) \right].$$

- that the optimal investment is a ratio of the total amount available for investment and the relativerisk aversion coefficient.
- 3. From the effect of consumption, more fund is required for investment on the risky asset whenThere is consumption to keep the investor solvent.
- 270

4. Conclusions

272

This work investigated the effect of consumption on the investment strategy of an investor. It assumed that the price process of the risk less asset has a rate of return that is driven Ornstein-Uhlenbeck model. Using the maximum principle and conjectures on elimination of variables obtained the optimal investment strategy of investor who has power utility preference where taxes, transaction costs and dividend payments are charged and paid.

It was found that the investment in the risky a fraction of the total amount available for investment which becomes dependent on $x, \rho, \sigma, \lambda, r$ and ϕ whenever $[(\mu + d) - (r + \vartheta + \theta)] = 0$, when there was no consumption, while when there was consumption, the optimal investment in the risky asset was a ratio of the total amount available for investment and the relative risk aversion coefficient. Also, consumption resulted that more fund is required for investment on the risky asset if the investor is to remain in business.

284

285 **References**

- 286
- [1] R. C. Merton, "Lifetime portfolio selection under uncertainty: the continuous-time case," The
 Review of Economics and Statistics, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 247–257, 1969.
- [2] R. C. Merton, "Optimum consumption and portfolio rules in a continuous-time model," Journal of Economic Theory, vol. 3, no.4, pp. 373–413, 1971.
- [3] M. J. Magill and G. M. Constantinides, "Portfolio selection with transactions costs", Journal of EconomicTheory, 13:245–263, 1976
- [4] P. Guasoni and J. Muhle-Karb, "Portfolio choice with transaction costs: a user's guide", In
 Paris-Princeton Lectures on Mathematical Finance 2013, 169–201. Springer, 2013.
- [5] R. Elie and N. Touzi, "Optimal lifetime consumption and investment under a drawdown constraint", Finance and Stochastics, 12:299–330, 2008.
- [6] D. Cuoco and J. Cvitani'c, "Optimal consumption choices for a large investor', Journal of Economic
- 299 Dynamics and Control, 22(3):401–436, 1998.
- [7] T. Zariphopoulou, "Optimal investment and consumption models with non-linear stock
 dynamics", Mathematical Methods of Operations Research, 50(2):271–296, 1999.
- 302 [8] G. Chacko and L. M. Viceira, "Dynamic consumption and portfolio choice with stochastic 303 volatility in
- incomplete markets", Review of Financial Studies, 18(4):1369–1402, 2005.
- [9] J.P. Fouque, R. Sircar, and T. Zariphopoulou, "Portfolio optimization & stochastic volatility
 asymptotics", Mathematical Finance, 2015.
- 307 [10] M. Lorig and R. Sircar, "Portfolio optimization under local-stochastic volatility: Coefficient
 308 Taylor series approximations and implied sharpe ratio", SIAM Journal on Financial
 309 Mathematics, 7(1):418–447, 2016.
- [11] R. Cont, "Long range dependence in financial markets", In Fractals in Engineering, pages
 159–179. Springer, 2005.
- [12] A. Chronopoulou and F. G. Viens, "Estimation and pricing under long-memory stochastic
 volatility', Annals of Finance, 8(2):379–403, 2012.
- [13] S. E. Shreve and H. M. Soner, "Optimal investment and consumption with transaction costs," The Annals of Applied Probability, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 609–692, 1994.
- [14] M. Akian, J. L. Menaldi, and A. Sulem, "On an investment consumption model with
- transaction costs," SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 329–364,
 1996.
- [15] K. Jane'cek and S. E. Shreve, "Asymptotic analysis for optimal investment and consumption with transaction costs," Finance and Stochastics, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 181–206, 2004.
- 1[6] W. H. Fleming and T. Zariphopoulou, "An optimal investment/consumption model with
 borrowing," Mathematics of Operations Research, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 802–822, 1991.
- [17] J.L. Vila and T. Zariphopoulou, "Optimal consumption and portfolio choice with borrowing
 constraints," Journal of Economic Theory,vol. 77, no. 2, pp. 402–431, 1997.
- 325 [18] S. A. Ihedioha, "Investor's Power Utility Optimization with Consumption, Tax, Dividend
- and Transaction Cost under Constant Elasticity of Variance Model" Asian Research Journal of
- 327 Mathematics, 4(2): 1-12, 2017.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

- [19] R. Yao and H. H. Zhang, "Optimal consumption and portfolio choices with risky housing
 and borrowing constraints," The Review of Financial Studies, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 197–239, 2005.
- [20] J. C. Cox and S. A. Ross, "The valuation of options for alternative stochastic processes,"
 Journal of Financial Economics, vol. 3, no.1-2, pp. 145–166, 1976.
- [21] M. Schroder, "Computing the constant elasticity of variance option pricing formula,"
 Journal of Finance, vol. 44, no. 1, pp.211–219, 1989.
- [22] C. F. Lo, P. H. Yuen, and C. H. Hui, "Constant elasticity of variance option pricing model
- with time-dependent parameters, "International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance, vol.
- 336 3,no. 4, pp. 661–674, 2000.
- [23] P. B. Phelim and S. T. Yisong, "Pricing look back and barrier options under the CEV process," Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 241–264, 1999.
- [24] D. Davydov and V. Linetsky, "Pricing and hedging path dependent options under the CEV
 process," Management Science, vol. 47, no. 7, pp. 949–965, 2001.
- 341 [25] J. Xiao, Z.Hong, and C.Qin, "The constant elasticity of variance(CEV) model and the
- 342 Legendre transform-dual solution for annuity contracts," Insurance: Mathematics and
- 343 Economics, vol.40, no. 2, pp. 302–310, 2007.
- 344 [26] J. Gao, "Optimal investment strategy for annuity contracts under the constant elasticity of
- variance (CEV) model," Insurance: Mathematics and Economics, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 9–18, 2009.
- 346 [27] J. Gao, "An extended CEV model and the Legendre transform dual-asymptotic solutions for
- annuity contracts," Insurance: Mathematics and Economics, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 511–530, 2010.
- [28] M. Gu, Y. Yang, S. Li, and J. Zhang, "Constant elasticity of variance model for proportional
 reinsurance and investment strategies," Insurance: Mathematics and Economics, vol. 46, no.
 3, pp. 580–587, 2010.
- 351 [29] X. Lin and Y. Li, "Optimal reinsurance and investment for a jump diffusion risk process under the CEV model." North American Actuarial Journal and 15 no. 2 nr. 417, 421, 2011
- under the CEV model," North American Actuarial Journal, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 417–431, 2011.
- 353 [30] A. Gu, X. Guo, Z. Li, and Y. Zeng, "Optimal control of excess of loss reinsurance and
- investment for insurers under a CEV model," Insurance: Mathematics and Economics, vol. 51, no. 3,pp. 674–684, 2012.
- [31] E. J. Jung and J. H. Kim, "Optimal investment strategies for the HARA utility under the
 constant elasticity of variance model, "Insurance: Mathematics and Economics, vol. 51, no. 1,
 pp. 667–673, 2012.
- 359 [32] H. Zhao and X. Rong, "Portfolio selection problem with multiple risky assets under the
- 360 constant elasticity of variance model," Insurance: Mathematics and Economics, vol. 50, no. 1,
- 361 pp. 179–190, 2012.
- [33] H. Chang, X. Rong, H. Zhao and C. Zhang, "Optimal Investment and Consumption
 Decisions under Constant Elasticity of Variance Model", Hindawi Publishing Corporation,
 Mathematical Problems in Engineering, vol.2013,1-11, 2013.
- 365
- 366
- 367
- 368