



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_36699
Title of the Manuscript:	Monotonicity and convexity properties and some inequalities involving a generalized form of the Wallis' cosine formula
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. In the introduction part, authors' motivation should be clearly illustrated. 2. The Abstract is poorly written. The author(s) should polish its language. "The methods and procedures are theoretical in nature." should be deleted.	1. We have stated our motivation under the introduction part. 2. We have revised the Abstract according to the reviewer's comments.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		