



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_33989
Title of the Manuscript:	The Population Question in Nigeria: Models and Reliable Projections
Type of the Article	Short research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>I have reviewed the manuscript entitled "The Population Question in Nigeria: Models and 2 Reliable Projections."</p> <p>I have seen several papers related to this manuscript on Google. This author has used some different data for reliable projections and there are no geometrical/graphical representations/models along with computing code.</p>	OK
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		