

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org

#### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_28948
Title of the Manuscript:	Dynamic DC motor-gear-alternator (MGA) system
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international



# SDI Review Form 1.6

# PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer,	
		correct the manuscript and highlight that part	
		in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors	
		should write his/her feedback here)	
<u>Compulsory</u>	1. How the values of inductance and resistance can be compared and		
REVISION	inductance is not ignored but its impact on voltage drop is ignored.		
comments	Please re-phrase the opening sentence, it is very confusing.		
	<ol><li>Provide comparative results of the mathematical model without</li></ol>		
	inductance.		
	3. Provide more results for comparison as you say you can compare		
	the effect of different values of the parameters.		
Minor REVISION	<ol> <li>Write equations in Equation editor instead of copy and paste.</li> </ol>		
comments	<ol><li>Revise section numbers such as Simulink Model should be in</li></ol>		
	another section.		
	3. Re-organize paragraphs, if possible and avoid trivial sentences		
	such as all motor/generator/alternators are designed to convert		
	energy from one form to another, so there should be something		
	more in the sentence when defining a dc motor i.e. a dc motor		
	works on dc input etc.		
Optional/General	It can be a good paper to read even though, I don't find something		
comments	new, if		
	1. The Opening statement is very clear.		
	2. Comparison of Simulink model result with and without inductance		
	consideration.		
	3. A few more graphs should be added in results with different values		
	of the parameters.		

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	University of Windsor, Canada