Original Research Article

Effect of cotton seed meal on the performance traits and meat composition in commercial broilers

ABSTRACT (ARIAL, BOLD, 11 FONT, LEFT ALIGNED, CAPS)

Aims: To evaluate the effect of different levels of cotton seed meal (CSM) on performance traits and meat composition in commercial broilers.

Place and Duration of the study: The experiment was carried out at Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University, Salna, Gazipur during the period from 26 February, 2018 to 10 April, 2018.

Study design and methodology: Two hundred fifty two Cobb-500 day-old broiler chicks with good health were randomly allotted to six dietary treatments in three replications with fourteen birds per replication in a complete randomized design for 35 days period. The dietary treatments were: T0, soyabean meal based diet; T1, 10% CSM protein with 90% soyabean meal protein; T2, 20% CSM protein with 80% soyabean meal protein; T3, 30% CSM protein with 70% soyabean meal protein; T4, 40% CSM protein with 60% soyabean meal protein and T5, 50% CSM protein with 50% soyabean meal protein. The mash feed was supplied *ad libitum* basis.

Results: Average feed intake (g/d) was increased (P=0.001) in higher amount of CSM group. Dressing percentage was tended to higher (P = 0.089) in T0 and lower value was showed in T5. CP content of breast meat significantly (P < 0.01) affected among the treatments. The highest CP content was observed in T5 (22.57%) and lowest CP content was in T1 (21.12%). CF content was significantly increased (P < 0.01) in the diet contained higher amount of CSM (0.35%, 0.32%, 0.31%, 0.22%, 0.13% for T5, T4, T3, T2 and T1; respectively) and the lowest CF was observed for T0 (0.11%). EE of breast muscle was also significantly increased (P < 0.01) in the diet contained higher amount of CSM (1.27%, 1.15%, 1.12%, 1.09%, 1.05% for T5, T4, T3, T2 and T1; respectively) and lower EE was observed in T0 group (1.01%). Ash content was higher (P < 0.05) in T0 (1.49%), T2 (1.48%) and T3 (1.45%) group compare to others. The second higher value was observed for T1 (1.4%) diet and the lowest ash content was observed in T4 (1.25%) and T5 (1.32%).

Conclusion: It can be concluded that CSM would be a substitute of soyabean meal in broiler ration and up to 40% CSM protein can be incorporated in broiler chicken diet without any adverse effects.

Keywords: Cotton seed meal; soyabean meal; broiler; carcass weight; dressing percentage;
 breast muscle.

15

16 1. INTRODUCTION

17

Broilers play an important role in human nutrition, national income, employment and income generation in Bangladesh. As an important sub sector of livestock production, the poultry industry in Bangladesh plays a vital role in economic growth and simultaneously creates

21 numerous employment opportunities. Poultry industry is a fundamental part of animal

Comment [u1]: Not necessary

1

production, is committed to the nation for supplying a cheap source of good quality nutritious 22 23 animal protein in terms of meat and eggs [1]. It was recorded that poultry meat alone contributes 37% of the total meat production in Bangladesh [2]. Poultry contributes about 22-24 25 27% of the total animal protein supply in the country. So, to cope with market demand for 26 animal meat protein, modern broilers are reaching market age sooner each year. Therefore, 27 advances in nutrition will be the fundamental for securing this rapid growth achievement and maintaining sustainable broiler production. Soyabean meal (SBM) is generally recognizes as 28 29 an effective and high-quality vegetable protein feed-stuff [3, 4]. Recently in Bangladesh, high 30 demand of soyabean meal has been observed but its availability is not sufficient round the year and the prices are also higher in off-season. Therefore, it is very important to improve 31 32 the scientific knowledge for utilizing low cost locally available agro-industrial by-products in broiler feed in order to reduce the feed cost and to substitute as an effective protein source. 33 34 Cottonseed meal (CSM) is one of them. Cotton seed meal (CSM) is a by-product of cotton 35 seed that is used for animal feeding because it is rich in oil and protein [5]. CSM is a fairly good source of protein (222.0 to 560.2 g per kg); [6, 7] and metabolizable energy (7.4 to 36 37 11.99MJ per kg); [7]. Another researcher reported that cottonseed cake has been used as a 38 cheaper alternative to soybean cake in livestock feeding and a good source of dietary 39 protein [8]. So, CSM is very useful in livestock feeding in the cotton growing areas. Although 40 CSM is an inexpensive source of protein with high protein content [9], it's nutrient bioavailability in poultry diets is low due to the presence of anti-nutritional factors, such as 41 free gossypol, Cycloproponoic fatty acids and crude fibre [10], which may cause negative 42 43 effects on growth, reproductive performance and organ abnormalities [9, 11]. But cottonseed products offer a safe alternative feed when fed at recommended levels [12, 13, 14]. If 44 45 carefully incorporated, cotton seed meal can reduce feed costs while maintaining or increasing the level of bird's performance. Besides, there is a very few research on CSM in 46 47 broiler diets. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the effect of different levels 48 of cotton seed meal on performance traits and meat composition in commercial broilers. 49

50 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

51

52 2.1 Animal, experimental design and management

53 The experiment was carried out at Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University Poultry Farm, Salna, Gazipur, Bangladesh. Two hundred fifty two (252) good and 54 55 healthy day-oldCobb-500 broiler chicks were weighed and randomly allocated to six dietary 56 treatments replicated three times with fourteen birds per replicate in a Complete Randomized Design (CRD). The dietary treatments were, T0, soyabean meal based diet; T1, 57 10% CSM protein with 90% soyabean meal protein; T2, 20% CSM protein with 80% 58 59 soyabean meal protein; T3, 30% CSM protein with 70% soyabean meal protein; T4, 40% 60 CSM protein with 60% soyabean meal protein and T5, 50% CSM protein with 50% soyabean 61 meal protein. A strict bio-security program was maintained inside and outside of the research shed. The birds were vaccinated against Infectious Bursal Disease (IBD) and Newcastle 62 Disease (ND). The management practices were identical for all dietary groups. Electric light 63 64 was provided for 24 hours and the brooding temperature was almost maintained at 33±2 °C for first week. In course of the trial, the temperature was gradually reduced to 25±2 °C at the 65 66 end of the experiment. Fresh and dried saw dust was used at a depth of about 3 cm for 67 bedding material. The birds were critically observed twice a day for clinical sign if any (slow 68 movement, infrequent sitting, lack of appetite, significant changes of feathering, paralysis 69 etc.) and for monitoring other activities. Feeder was cleaned in each week and waterer was 70 washed twice daily.

71 2.2 Preparation of experimental diet and feeding

The experimental diets were formulated by replacing soyabean meal with CSM according to the [15] recommendation in the three phases namely starter (1 to 14 days), grower (15 to 28 Comment [u2]: recorgnized

Comment [u3]: Protein should be removed, you can abbreviate Soybean meal as SBM

Comment [u4]: Feeders and drinkers

days) and finisher (29 to 35 days). All feed ingredients were weighed separately and soyabean oil was incorporated into soyabean meal first and then mixed thoroughly with other macro ingredients. Micro ingredients were mixed thoroughly with the ground maize and then mixed with the other macro ingredients. Diet for each treatment was prepared properly as per recommendation. The ingredients and nutritional composition of different diets (starter, grower and finisher) are presented in Table 1, Table 2 and Table 3; respectively. All diets were free form antibiotics. The broiler mash feed was supplied three times daily on an ad libitum basis. Fresh clean and safe water was made available at all the times.

2.3 Slaughtering and sample collection of broilers

After 35th day of the experiment, three (3) birds from each replicate were randomly selected from each pen and each broiler chicken was weighed. Birds were sacrificed and hanged until complete bleeding. After complete bleeding the birds feathers were removed by hand and pining was done manually. Viscera and giblet were removed from the carcass. Legs, head, neck and shank were separated from the body parts. Live bird, slaughtered bird (after complete bleeding), skin, viscera, giblet, legs, head, neck, shank and carcass were weighed individually. Breast muscles were collected randomly from each replicate.

2.4 Calculation

The feed intake of each replication was determined by subtracting the amount of left over from the amount of supplied feed on the previous day. Live weight of each bird was recorded as the average weight of all birds of each replicate. Carcass weight and dressing percent were calculated accordingly by considering the live weight of broilers for each replication.

2.5 Chemical analysis

Samples of breast meat were analyzed to determine dry matter (DM), crude protein (CP), ether extract (EE), crude fibre (CF), nitrogen free extract (NFE) and total ash were determined according to the methods of Association of Official Analytical Chemists [16].

2.6 Statistical Analysis

The data were analyzed by using the statistical program (SPSS 16.0) to compute analysis of variance (ANOVA) for a completely randomized design (CRD) and Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT) was done to differentiate among the treatment means at 5% level of significant.

Table 1: Ingredients composition and nutrient content of broiler starter diet

Items		Treatments									
	Т0	T0 T1 T2 T3 T4									
Ingredients (Required amount per 100 kg) , % as fed basis											
Corn	54.73	51.38	47.88	44.28	40.53	36.35					

Comment [u5]: At the 35th

Comment [u6]: Parameters measured

Cotton seed meal	0	5.13	10.5	16.04	21.75	28.19	
Soyabean meal	29	26.7	24.29	21.8	19.25	16.34	
Soyabean oil	1.25	1.77	2.31	2.86	3.45	4.1	
Distillers Dried Grains with	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Solubles (DDGs)							
Protein concentrate	6	6	6	6	6	6	
Lime stone	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	
Di calcium phosphate	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	
^a Vitamin–Mineral Premix	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	
Threonine	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	
L- Lysine	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	
DL-Methionine	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	
Salt	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	
Enzyme	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	
Phytase	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	
Anti-Oxidant	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0. <mark>02</mark>	Comment [u7]:
Total							Comment [u8]: 100 should be added
Calculated analysis		2051 17	-2951.04 -	-2950.54-	-2951-17-	- 2951-31	
ME (Kcal/Kg)	2951.00	2951.17	2931.04		2951.17	2931.31	Comment [u9]: Ca;culated analysis
Crude Protein (%)	23.02	23.02	23.02	23.02	23.03	23.02	
Linoleic acid (%)	1.15	1.08	1.00	0.93	0.84	0.75	
Ca (%)	1.12	1.13	1.14	1.15	1.15	1.16	
P (Total) (%)	0.68	0.69	0.70	0.70	0.71	0.72	
P(non-phy) (%)	0.47	0.47	0.47	0.46	0.46	0.46	
Na (%)	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.14	
Cl (%)							
12 (0/)	0.22	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.20	
K (%)	1.76	1.69	1.62	1.54	0.21 1.46	0.20 1.36	
K (%) Lysine (%)		1.69 1.22					
	1.76	1.69	1.62	1.54	1.46	1.36	
Lysine (%)	1.76 1.24	1.69 1.22	1.62 1.21	1.54 1.20	1.46 1.18	1.36 1.17	
Lysine (%) Methionine (%)	1.76 1.24 0.64	1.69 1.22 0.64	1.62 1.21 0.65	1.54 1.20 0.65	1.46 1.18 0.65	1.36 1.17 0.65	
Lysine (%) Methionine (%) Cystine (%) Methionine +cystine (%)	1.76 1.24 0.64 0.31	1.69 1.22 0.64 0.32	1.62 1.21 0.65 0.32	1.54 1.20 0.65 0.32	1.46 1.18 0.65 0.32	1.36 1.17 0.65 0.32	
Lysine (%) Methionine (%) Cystine (%)	1.76 1.24 0.64 0.31 0.96	1.69 1.22 0.64 0.32 0.96	1.62 1.21 0.65 0.32 0.96	1.54 1.20 0.65 0.32 0.97	1.46 1.18 0.65 0.32 0.97	1.36 1.17 0.65 0.32 0.97	

aVitamin –Mineral Premix provided the following per kilo gram of diet: Vitamin A, 5.0 MU; Vitamin D, 1.0 MU; Vitamin E, 10.0 g; Vitamin K, 1.6 g; Vitamin B1, 0.6 g; Vitamin B2, 2.0 g; Vitamin B6, 1.6 g; Vitamin B12, 4.0 mg; Biotin, 20.0 mg; Pantothenic Acid, 4.0 g; Folic Acid, 0.2 g; Nicotinic Acid, 12.0 g; Copper, 2.4 g; Iron, 9.6 g; Zinc, 160 g; Manganese, 19.2g; Selenium, 0.05 g; Cobalt, 0.12 g; Iodine, 0.24 g 124 125 126 127 128 129 130 131

Table 2: Ingredients composition and nutrient content of broiler grower diet

Items		Treatment								
	Т0	T1	T2	Т3	T4	Т5				
Ingredients (Required ar	mount per 100	kg) , % as '	fed basis							
Corn	54.48	51.14	47.64	44.05	40.29	36.11				
Cotton seed meal	0	5.13	10.5	16.03	21.78	28.19				

Soyabean meal Soyabean oil Distillers Dried Grains with Solubles (DDGs)	29.01	26.7					
Soyabean oil Distillers Dried Grains with				01 0	10.00	10.04	
Distillers Dried Grains with			24.29	21.8	19.22	16.34	
	3.8 6	4.32 6	4.86 6	5.41 6	6 6	6.65 6	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Protein concentrate	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	
Lime stone	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	
Di calcium phosphate	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	
^a Vitamin –Mineral Premix	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Threonine	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	
L- Lysine	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	
DL-Methionine	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	
Salt	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25		0.25	
		0.3	0.3		0.3		
Enzyme	0.04			0.04	0.04	0.04	
Phytase	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	
Anti-Oxidant	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	
	0404.40	0404 50	0404.40	0404.05	0404 50	0404 70	Comment [u10]: Total should be added be
ME (Kcal/Kg)	3101.42	3101.59	3101.46	3101.05	3101.56	3101.73	calculated analysis
Crude Protein (%)	21.55	21.55	21.55	21.55	21.55	21.55	
Linoleic acid (%)	1.15	1.07	1.00	0.92	0.84	0.75	
Ca (%)	0.98	0.98	0.99	1.00	1.01	1.01	
P (Total) (%)	0.61	0.61	0.62	0.63	0.64	0.64	
P(non-phy) (%)	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.38	
Na (%)	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.14	
CI (%)	0.22	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.20	
K (%)	1.76	1.69	1.61	1.54	1.45	1.36	
Lysine (%)	1.15	1.13	1.12	1.11	1.09	1.08	
Methionine (%)	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.61	0.61	
Cystine (%)	0.28	0.28	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	
Met+cys (%)	0.88	0.88	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90	
Threonine (%)	0.72	0.72	0.71	0.71	0.70	0.69	
Tryptophan (%)	0.27	0.27	0.26	0.26	0.25	0.25	
Feed cost/kg (Tk)	37.17	37.01	36.83	36.65	36.47	36.27	

147

Table 3: Ingredients composition and nutrient content of broiler finisher diet

Items	Treatment							
	T0	T1	T2	Т3	T4	T5		
Ingredients (Required amou	unt per 100	kg), % as	fed basis					
Corn	64.08	62.32	60.7	58.62	56.67	54.44		
Cotton seed meal	0	2.7	5.2	8.4	11.4	14.8		
Soyabean meal	16.01	14.8	13.67	12.23	10.88	9.36		
Soyabean oil	2.5	2.77	3.02	3.34	3.64	3.99		
Distillers Dried Grains with Solubles (DDGs)	5	5	5	5	5	5		

^a Vitamin –Mineral Premix provided the following per kilo gram of diet: Vitamin A, 5.0 MU; Vitamin D, 1.0 MU; Vitamin E, 10.0 g; Vitamin K, 1.6 g; Vitamin B1, 0.6 g; Vitamin B2, 2.0 g; Vitamin B6, 1.6 g; Vitamin B12, 4.0 mg; Biotin, 20.0 mg; Pantothenic Acid, 4.0 g; Folic Acid, 0.2 g; Nicotinic Acid, 12.0 g; Copper, 2.4 g; Iron, 9.6 g; Zinc, 160 g; Manganese, 19.2g; Selenium, 0.05 g; Cobalt, 0.12 g; Iodine, 0.24 g

ME (Kcal/Kg) 3121.64 3121.48 3121.41 3121.27 3121.13 3121.45 C.Protein (%) 20.05 <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></td<>								
Lime stone 1.3 1.2 1.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.26 1.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01								
Di calcium phosphate 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 ^a Vitamin-Mineral Premix 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 Threonine 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 L- Lysine 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 DL-Methionine 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 Salt 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 Enzyme 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 Anti-Oxidant 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 C.Protein (%) 20.05<								
^a Vitamin-Mineral Premix 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 Threonine 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 L-Lysine 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 DL-Methionine 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 Salt 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 Enzyme 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 Phytase 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 Anti-Oxidant 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 Total								
Threonine 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 L- Lysine 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 DL-Methionine 0.25 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.01								
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $								
DL-Methionine 0.25 20.05								
Salt 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 Enzyme 0.04 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.010 0.015 0.015 0.055 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.5								
Enzyme 0.04 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.025 0.0205 20.05 20.05 20.05 20.05 20.05 20.05 20.05 20.05 20.05 20.05 20.05 20.05 20.05 20.05 20.05								
Phytase 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.12 1.28 1.28 1.28 1.28 1.28 1.28 1.28 1.29 1.30 1.30 1.30 1.30 1.30 1.30 1.30 1.30 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
Anti-Oxidant 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.015 Total Image: Comment [u11]: Total should be added before Comment [u11]: Total should be added before Calculated analysis Comment [u11]: Total should be added before Calculated analysis Calculated analysis Calculated analysis C.Protein (%) 20.05 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>								
Total Comment [u11]: Total should be added before calculated analysis ME (Kcal/Kg) 3121.64 3121.48 3121.41 3121.27 3121.13 3121.45 C.Protein (%) 20.05 20.05 20.05 20.05 20.05 20.05 Linoleic acid (%) 1.28 1.24 1.20 1.16 1.12 1.07 Ca (%) 1.28 1.28 1.28 1.29 1.30 1.74 0.74 0.75 P (Total) (%) 0.73 0.73 0.74 0.74 0.75 0.55 0.55 Na (%) 0.16 0.16 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 CI (%) 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 Lysine (%) 1.03 1.03 1.02 1.01 1.01 1.00 Methionine (%) 0.64 0.64 0.65 0.65 0.65 0.65								
ME (Kcal/Kg) 3121.64 3121.48 3121.41 3121.27 3121.13 3121.45 C.Protein (%) 20.05 <td< td=""><td>Anti-Oxidant</td><td>0.015</td><td>0.015</td><td>0.015</td><td>0.015</td><td>0.015</td><td>0.015</td><td></td></td<>	Anti-Oxidant	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	
C.Protein (%)20.0520.0520.0520.0520.0520.05Linoleic acid (%)1.281.241.201.161.121.07Ca (%)1.281.281.281.291.30P (Total) (%)0.730.730.740.740.74P(non-phy) (%)0.550.550.550.550.55Na (%)0.160.160.150.150.15CI (%)0.210.210.210.210.21K (%)1.461.421.391.341.301.25Lysine (%)0.640.640.650.650.65	Total							Comment [u11]: Total should be added before
Linoleic acid (%) 1.28 1.24 1.20 1.16 1.12 1.07 Ca (%) 1.28 1.28 1.28 1.29 1.29 1.30 P (Total) (%) 0.73 0.73 0.74 0.74 0.74 0.75 P(non-phy) (%) 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 Na (%) 0.16 0.16 0.15 0.15 0.15 Cl (%) 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 K (%) 1.46 1.42 1.39 1.34 1.30 1.25 Lysine (%) 1.03 1.03 1.02 1.01 1.01 1.00 Methionine (%) 0.64 0.64 0.65 0.65 0.65		3121.64	3121.48	3121.41	3121.27	3121.13	3121.45	calculated analysis
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C.Protein (%)	20.05	20.05	20.05	20.05	20.05	20.05	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Linoleic acid (%)	1.28	1.24	1.20	1.16	1.12	1.07	
P(non-phy) (%)0.550.550.550.550.55Na (%)0.160.160.150.150.15Cl (%)0.210.210.210.210.210.21K (%)1.461.421.391.341.301.25Lysine (%)1.031.031.021.011.011.00Methionine (%)0.640.640.650.650.65	Ca (%)	1.28	1.28	1.28	1.29	1.29	1.30	
Na (%) 0.16 0.16 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 Cl (%) 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 K (%) 1.46 1.42 1.39 1.34 1.30 1.25 Lysine (%) 1.03 1.03 1.02 1.01 1.01 1.00 Methionine (%) 0.64 0.64 0.65 0.65 0.65	P (Total) (%)	0.73	0.73	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.75	
CI (%)0.210.210.210.210.210.21K (%)1.461.421.391.341.301.25Lysine (%)1.031.031.021.011.011.00Methionine (%)0.640.640.650.650.65	P(non-phy) (%)	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.55	
K (%) 1.46 1.42 1.39 1.34 1.30 1.25 Lysine (%) 1.03 1.03 1.02 1.01 1.01 1.00 Methionine (%) 0.64 0.64 0.65 0.65 0.65	Na (%)	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	
Lysine (%)1.031.031.021.011.011.00Methionine (%)0.640.640.650.650.65	CI (%)	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	
Methionine (%) 0.64 0.64 0.65 0.65 0.65	K (%)	1.46	1.42	1.39	1.34	1.30	1.25	
Methionine (%) 0.64 0.64 0.65 0.65 0.65	Lysine (%)	1.03	1.03	1.02	1.01	1.01	1.00	
		0.64	0.64	0.64	0.65	0.65	0.65	
Cystine (%) 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29 0.29	Cystine (%)	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	
Met+cys (%) 0.93 0.93 0.93 0.93 0.94 0.94	Met+cys (%)	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.94	0.94	
Threonine (%) 0.53 0.53 0.53 0.52 0.52 0.52	Threonine (%)	0.53	0.53	0.53	0.52	0.52	0.52	
Tryptophan (%) 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.20 0.20 0.20	Tryptophan (%)	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.20	0.20	0.20	
Feed cost/kg (Tk) 39.33 39.24 39.16 39.05 38.95 38.85	Feed cost/kg (Tk)	39.33	39.24	39.16	39.05	38.95	38.85	

^aVitamin –Mineral Premix provided the following per kilo gram of diet: Vitamin A, 5.0 MU; Vitamin D,
1.0 MU; Vitamin E, 10.0 g; Vitamin K, 1.6 g; Vitamin B1, 0.6 g; Vitamin B2, 2.0 g; Vitamin B6, 1.6 g;
Vitamin B12, 4.0 mg; Biotin, 20.0 mg; Pantothenic Acid, 4.0 g; Folic Acid, 0.2 g; Nicotinic Acid, 12.0 g;
Copper, 2.4 g; Iron, 9.6 g; Zinc, 160 g; Manganese, 19.2g; Selenium, 0.05 g; Cobalt, 0.12 g; Iodine,
0.24 g

155

156 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

157

158 3.1 Performance traits

Performance traits of broilers fed different experimental diets are presented in Table 4. 159 160 Average feed intake was significantly higher (P < 0.01) in the diets containing higher amount 161 of CSM. This result is consistent with the observation of other researchers [17, 8] who reported that CSM influence higher feed intake and at moderate incorporation levels, feed 162 intake can be increased, which impairs feed efficiency [18]. In this study, there was no 163 significant difference (P > 0.05) for average live weight gain when broilers fed different levels 164 of CSM, which were also consistent with previous studies [10, 19, 9]. Although, the birds fed 165 166 on diet T2, T3 and T4 had their weights numerically tended to improved, but the birds with diet T2 showed superiority in weights over other diets. These results showed consonance 167 168 with earlier researcher report [17], who concluded that feeding cotton seed cake up to 50% 169 had no significant effect on performance of broiler chickens. Supplementation of lysine can help to alleviate the negative effects of cottonseed meal [20, 21, 22]. Decreased efficiency of 170 171 CSM utilization was also observed when the level of CSM was increased in the diet [23, 24]. However, another research [25] disagreed with the previous results on live weight and feed 172 conversion ratio and reported that no adverse effect of CSM at the level of 30%. In this study 173 174 the results was also fully agreed with the findings of [25]. Live weight and carcass weight did

175 not show any significant difference among the treatments. But dressing percentage was 176 tended to significant (P = 0.089) among the treatments. The higher value was observed in 177 control (0% CSM) group and the lower value was for T5 group where broilers received 50% 178 CSM protein. However, after receiving of CSM diet (up to 15%) dressing percentage value 179 were (64.8 to 66.8%) [14], which was more or less similar to the present observations. No 180 significant difference was observed in feed cost per kg live weight gain. However, some research [17, 26] reported that feed cost was numerically decreased with increasing levels of 181 182 CSM in the diet. In this work also similar trend was observed because CSM is relatively 183 cheaper compared to soyabean meal in the market. But higher percent of CSM level 184 influence the higher amount of feed intake. According as, cost for per kg live weight gain was 185 similar to all diets. The substitution of soyabean meal with CSM might have lowered the actual energy content [27] and digestible lysine content [28, 29, 14] of the diets. But in this 186 study, 100g L-lysine was added to all of the diets which did not prove beneficial in 187 188 counteracting the negative effect of gossypol in broilers because average growth rate was 189 similar in all of the treatments.

190

191

192 ts

193

-	Table 4.Performance tr	aits of bro	oilers fed	different ex	cperimental	diets

P-**Dietary treatment** SEM Parameters value то T1 Т5 T2 Т3 **T4** Average feed intake (g) 91.99 91.46 93.37^t 94.65^t 94.16^b 93.51^t 1.24 0.001 Average live weight 50.45 48.99 0.79 48.59 48.61 48.85 48.17 0.616 gain (g/d) Carcass traits 1876.22 1950.67 1851.00 1896.00 1916.11 1830.89 15.39 0.737 Live weight (g) Carcass weight (g) 1223.12 1222.76 1250.56 1179.47 1259.84 1304.22 13.19 0.525 Dressing percentage 0.49 0.089 67.12b 66.74ab 66.03ab 64.41a 65.18ab 64.43a (%) Feed cost/kg live 72.29 71.46 69.91 72.75 72.21 72.51 0.450 0.698 weight gain (BDT)

194 195

196 3.2 Nutrient composition of meat

197 Nutrient compositions of breast meat of broilers of different treatments are shown in the Table 5. No significant difference was found for the DM content of broilers breast meat 198 199 ranged due to the treatments. CP content of breast meat was significantly (P < 0.01) differed 200 among the treatments. The highest CP content was observed in T5 and the lowest CP 201 content was in T1. Second lowest value was showed by T4. However, T0, T2 and T3 did not 202 show significant difference among them. Little information is available about the effects of 203 CSM on the meat compositions of broiler chickens. It was reported that the CP content of breast muscle was 22.57 to 23.08 for day 42 and day 52 Cobb broiler chickens [30] and 204 19.7±1.88 for day 45 Cobb broiler chickens [31]. In this study, the observation was made for 205 206 35 days old Cobb broiler chickens and the similar value was also found. Higher level of CSM influenced the higher fibre content in breast meat. The CF content of breast muscle was 207 208 significantly (P < 0.01) higher in T5 diet and significantly lower value was observed in T0 and 209 T1 diets. The CF content of breast muscle was increased with increasing the CSM in diets. Higher amount of CSM may influence the higher amount of CF in breast muscle. Cotton 210 seed meal contained higher amount of EE compared to soyabean meal which may 211 influenced (P < 0.01) the higher intramuscular EE content of breast muscle in higher CSM 212 receiving groups (T5) compared to small amount of CSM contained diets receiving group 213 (T1) and the lower EE value was observed for control group (T0). The increased EE in 214 215 breast muscle were observed when broiler fed higher percentage of CSM containing diets,

216 which might be attributed to the enhanced anabolism of intramuscular fat [9]. However, 217 others observed that the EE content of breast muscle was 2.22% to 2.55% [30] and 3.6±0.39 218 [31] which value was higher compared to this research. Ash content was higher (P < 0.05) in 219 T0, T2 and T3 diets compare to the other treatment diets. But T0, T2 and T3 diets did not show any significant difference among the diets. The second higher value was observed for 220 221 T1 diet but T0, T1, T2 and T3 did not showed any significant difference among the 222 treatments. However, the lowest ash content was observed in T4 but T4 and T5 did not differ 223 significantly between the diets for the ash content of breast muscle. This observation was 224 more or less similar (1.13% to 1.17% and 1.4±0.14) with the result that was reported by others [30, 31] for meat composition of Cobb broilers. Mortality (%) was only 0.5% and no 225 226 health problems were detected, need for prolonged feeding trial to assess safety and 227 productivity of the use of CSM is clear warranted.

228

229 Table 5: Nutrient composition of breast meat for different experimental diets 230

Parameters			Dietary f	reatment			SEM	P-value
Farameters	Т0	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	SEIVI	P-value
DM%	24.82	23.78	25.09	25.25	24.41	25.59	1.00	0.688
Nutrient compo	osition (%,	DM basis	;)					
CP%	22.11 ^c	21.12 ^a	22.22 ^c	22.18 ^c	21.61 ^b	22.57 ^d	0.84	0.000
CF%	0.11 ^a	0.13 ^a	0.22 ^b	0.31 ^c	0.32 ^{cd}	0.35 ^d	0.10	0.000
EE%	1.01 ^a	1.05 ^{ab}	1.09 ^{abc}	1.12 ^{bc}	1.15 [°]	1.27 ^d	0.10	0.001
ASh%	1.49 ^c	1.4 ^{bc}	1.48 ^c	1.45 [°]	1.25 ^a	1.32 ^{ab}	0.10	0.002

231 232

234

239

233 4. CONCLUSION

From the results of this study, it can be concluded that CSM would be a substitute of soyabean meal in broiler ration and up to 40% CSM protein can be incorporated in broiler chicken diet without any adverse effects.

Comment [u12]: Can <not would> < without any adverse effects on the health and performance of the birds>

Comment [u13R12]:

244 REFERENCES

245

- Akter A, Uddin S. Bangladesh Poultry Industry. Journal of Business and Technology (Dhaka). 2009; 4(2): 97-112.
- 248 2. DLS. Bangladesh delta plan 2100 formulation project (Livestock). General economics division planning commission Government of Bangladesh. 2015.
- Azarm HM, Lee SM. Effect of partial substitution of dietary fish meal by fermented soyabean meal on growth performance, amino acid and biochemical parameters of juvenile black sea bream acanthopagrus schlegeli. Aquaculture Research. 2014; 45: 994-1003.
- 4. Kim S W. Identification of a second major antigenic epitope in the -subunit of soy conglycinin. Food and Agricultural Immunology. 2014; 25: 311-321.
- Gadelha ICN, Fonseca NBS, Oloris SCS, Melo MM, Soto-Blanco B. Gossypol toxicity
 from cottonseed products. The Scientific World Journal. 2014, 231635.
- 258 6. Anonymous. Cottonseed meal. Pakistan Poultry. 1996; 7.

7. Nagalakshmi, D, Rao SVR, Panda AK, Sastry VRB. Cottonseed meal in poultry diets: a
 review. Poultry Science. 2007; 44: 119-134.

- Adeymo GO, Longe OG. Effects of graded levels of cottonseed cake on performance, haematological and carcass characteristics of broiler fed from day old to 8 weeks of age.
 African Journal of Biotechnology. 2007; 6(8): 1064-1071.
- Nie C, Zhang W, Wenxia GE, Wang Y, Liu Y, Liu J. Effect of fermented cotton seed meal on growth performance, apparent digestibility, carcass traits and meat composition in yellow-feathered broilers. Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Science. 2015; 39: 350-356.
- Tang JW, Sun H, Yao XH, Wu YF, Wang X, Feng J. Effects of replacement of soybean meal by fermented cottonseed meal on growth performance, serum biochemical parameters and immune function of yellow-feathered broilers. Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences. 2012; 25(3): 393-400.
- 11. S. ŚWIĄTKIEWICZ. The use of cotton seed meal as a protein source for poultry: An update review. Worlds Poultry Science Journal. 2016; 72: 473-484.
- Sterling KG, Costa EF, Henry MH, Pesti GM, Bakalli RI. Responses of broiler chickens to cottonseed and soybean meal-based diets at several protein levels. Poultry Science. 2002; 81(2): 217-26.
- 277 13. Perez-Maldonado RA. Canola meal and Cottonseed meal in broiler and layer diets. A
 278 report for the Australian Egg Corporation Limited. 2003.
- 14. Mishra A, Ray S, Sarkar SK, Haldar S. Cotton seed meal as a partial replacement for soyabean meal in cob 400 broiler ration. Indian Journal of Animal Nutrition. 2015; 32(1): 69-74.
- 15. NRC (National Research Council). Nutrient requirements of domestic animals No. 1
 Nutrient Requirement of Poultry. 9th rev. ed. National Academy of Science, Washington
 D.C. 1994.
- 16. AOAC. Official methods of analysis. 16th Edn. Association of official Analytical
 Chemistry, Washington, DC. 1995.
- 17. Ojewola GS, Ukachukwu SN, Okulonye EI. Cottonseed meal as substitute for soyabean meal in broiler ration. International Journal of Poultry Science. 2006; 5 (4): 360-364.
- 18. Batonon-Alavo DI, Faruk MU, Lescoat P, Weber GM, Bastianelli D. Inclusion of sorghum, millet and cottonseed meal in broiler diets: a meta-analysis of effects on performance. Animal. 2015; 9(7): 1120-1130.
- Sun H, Tang JW, Fang CL, Yao XH, Wu YF, Wang X, Feng J. Molecular analysis of intestinal bacterial microbiota of broiler chickens fed diets containing fermented cotton seed meal. Poultry Science. 2013; 92: 392-401.
- 20. Henry MH, Pesti GM, Bakalli R, Lee J, Toledo RT, Eitenmiller RR, Phillips RD. The
 performance of broiler chicks fed diets containing extruded cottonseed meal
 supplemented with lysine. Poultry Science. 2001; 80(6): 762-768.
- Sekhar Reddy P, Sudhakar Reddy P, Satyanarayana Reddy PVV, Srinivasa Rao D.
 Influence of cottonseed cake on the performance of broilers. Indian Journal of Animal
 Nutrition. 1998; 15:188-193.
- 22. Ryan JR, Kratzer FH, Grau CR, Vohra P. Glandless cottonseed meal for laying and breeding hens and broiler chicks. Poultry Science. 1986; 65(5): 949-955.
- 303 23. Fafiolu AO, Oso AO, Bangbose, AM, Omodia RE, Sediq RM. Performance of weaner rabbits fed cottonseed cake as replacer for soyabean meal. In: Proc. 31st Annual Conference of the Nigerian Society for Animal Production. 2006 (12-15th March); 376-379.
- 307 24. Mahmood F, Khan MZ, Khan A, Muhammad G, Javed I. Lysine induced modulation of
 308 toxicopathological effects of cottonseed meal in broiler breeder males. Pakistan Journal
 309 of Zoology. 2011; 43(2): 357-365.

- 25. Abdulrashid, M, Joseph ZO, Mohammed A, Adamu HY. Response of broiler chickens
 fed cottonseed meal based diets. International Journal of Agricultural Research. 2013, 1:
 62-65.
- 26. Attanayaka PMGSK, Pathirana, APDG, Priyankarage, N, Silva SSP, Nayananjalie WAD.
 Effect of substitution of soyabean meal with cottonseed meal on the performances of broiler chicken. International Journal of Livestock. Research. 2016; 6(3): 24-30.
- 316 27. Nzekwe NM, Olomu JM. Cottonseed as a substitute for groundnut meal in the rations of
- laying chicken and growing turkeys. Journal of Anim. Prodition Research. 1984; 4: 57-71.
 Heidarinia A, Malakian M. Nutritional evaluation of cottonseed meal with and without
- ferrous sulfate for broiler chickens. Research Journal of Poulty Science. 2011; 4: 14-17.
 Zaboli GR, Miri A. Effect of dietary lysine to crude protein ratio in diets containing corn,
- 321 cottonseed meal and soyabean meal on broiler performance during starter period. Life
 322 Science Journal. 2013; 10: 454-458.
 323 Science Journal. 2013; 10: 454-458.
- 323 30. Suchý P, Jelíek P, Strakovà E, Hucl J. Chemical composition of muscles of hybrid
 324 broiler chickens during prolonged feeding. Czech Journal of Animal Science. 2002;
 325 47(12): 511-518.
- 31. Oliveira Jde, Avanco SV, Garcia-Neto M, Ponsano EHG. Composition of broilers meat.
 Journal of Applied Poultry Research. 2016; 25: 173-181.
- 328