



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_43549
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Traditional post-harvest practices on Sitophilus zeamais infestation in agro-ecological zones of the Central African Republic
Type of the Article	original

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>-Family and order name of <i>Sitophilus zeamais</i> should be given in the place where the name is first mentioned.</p> <p>-The authors should be add information about other insects found in stored maize. If there is no insect other than <i>S. zeamais</i>, the authors should determine it in the introduction and results part.</p> <p>-line 59, 15 should be" fifteen"</p> <p>In the results part, the authors should determine the rate of infestation (%) to stored corn.</p> <p>-The rate of infestation should be gives in part of results.</p>	
Optional/General comments	It is a good idea to do this work; I think it will be a contribution to science and useful for the farmers.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pervin Erdoğan
Department, University & Country	Plant Protection Central Research Institute, Ankara , Turkey