SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_31643
Title of the Manuscript:	SORGHUM /LEGUME INTERCROP ON STEM BORER DAMAGE AND YIELD OF SORGHUM.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Author/s to please have attention to detail and be consistent throughout the paper. This is mandatory in scientific writing** **some references are not in number format as per journal requirements eg in line 69 and line 71. This is not limited to these references only. Please look in the document properly. Once this is corrected reference list should be updated.** **Authors to provide units e.g of yield in all tables where applicable. I recommend converting yield data to tonnes per hectare as it will make your work comparable to other studies.** **Consistency again throughout the paper authors to leave a space between number and SI Unit eg 8 m not 8m. This has to be consistent throughout the paper.** **Scientific names always should be italised** **Line 11 capital letter C for Chilo** **Line 15 be specific is it 2013/2014 season and 2014/2015 season.** **Rephrase line 23 -25** **Line 94 to 96: Authors are using the wrong symbol**	

SDI Review Form 1.6

	for Degree Celsius. Please obtain the correct symbol under symbols in ms word. Line 97: units for rainfall missing Graphs should be improved, they are currently not clear. Add units to axis titles. Once again consistency the P-value should be in brackets when discussing results.	
Minor REVISION comments	English grammar and minor errors should be improved throughout the paper.	
Optional/General comments	Consider to write scientifically 2.5 kg plot^{-1} not 2.5kg/plot	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	*TP Chibarabada*
Department, University & Country	*University of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa*