



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Agriculture</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_45722
Title of the Manuscript:	Biology and Dispersal of the Watermelon Bug Coridius viduatus (F.) Heteroptera: Dinidoridae on Different CucurbitCrops, in North Darfur State, Sudan.
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Very long introduction</b></p> <p><b>Line 60 to 65 could be good element for discussion</b></p> <p><b>What is the fundamental reason for using</b> andomized complete block design was used? <b>May be you can use another design!!!! It could be interesting to explain why you prefer this design!</b></p> <p><b>It's could be interesting to locate 2.1 Field Survey in the map!</b></p> <p><b>Line 102 to 122</b> Where did you found the methodology ? From literature? Please indicate an author</p> <p>How did-you obtain this result? No statistical analysis? It must be described in Material and Method</p> <p>Look like interesting result, but the statistical analysis must be described and the discussion must be documented</p>	<p>We agreed these lines can be shifted to discussion.</p> <p>R CBD was used because it is simple and fulfil the objective of the experiment, through RCBD we eliminated treatments error (water melon varieties) also soil, irrigation, randomization ..etc (experimental error) also eliminated so we displayed the data I think in a rationale manner. Always there are alternative in choosing an appropriate design!!</p> <p>Actually we had drawn a map showing the area of the study, in fact I hesitated to add it to the manuscript, I am afraid the ARJA editor cannot manipulate it because it appeared as a picture any way we attached it as an appendix,</p> <p>These lines from102 to 109 described actually the lay out of the experiment (preference and non-preference, lines 110 to122 it is a method we used before for insect rearing [10].</p> <p>Survey results as we mentioned the objectives were to determine the sheltering sites and the nature of the watermelon bug movement and we use descriptive methods to display the results.</p> <p>Food preference and non-preference experiment we run Analyse of Variance and mean separation was computedat 0.05 p Lsd , we use scientific calculator we depend on Gomez and Gomez</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>No working in this topic on 2014?2015? 2016? 2017? 2018?</p> <p>Please the discussion could be documented by some recently references</p>	ok
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	