



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_39727
Title of the Manuscript:	The effect of drying methods on seed germination and vigour of two varieties of tomato
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The work has failures in the execution of the statistical analysis, thus, the results of the mean quarters of Table 1 are incorrect. In a completely randomized design, the replicates do not enter as a source of variation.</p> <p>The results are little explored, without mentioning other works within the same study theme. It is only a presentation of the results.</p> <p>The work results in only two response variables, very little for a scientific article.</p>	<p>I think replicate should be source of variation but in most cases is always not significant otherwise it becomes difficult to calculate for experimental error.</p> <p>Our aim was to compare new technology with the status quo.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		