



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_39727
Title of the Manuscript:	The effect of drying methods on seed germination and vigour of two varieties of tomato
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In its current state the submission has several weaknesses. It must focus on one hypothesis-driven problem. It is not sufficient to run a particular sample matrix through a series of analyses and then publish the data. This does not constitute a high-quality scientific study. You must present and solve a problem.</p> <p>Introduction must clearly identify the current knowledge gaps around the topic, presents the scope of research, and recent literature supporting the argument.</p>	<p>The aim was to compare the new technology with the status quo which was the use of traditional method. Hence the results were to suggest whether to maintain status quo or accept the new technology.</p> <p>Gowda et al. had already suggested traditional method as the best however our findings suggest machine drying.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		