



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_39727
Title of the Manuscript:	The effect of drying methods on seed germination and vigour of two varieties of tomato
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Introduction Introduction part should be rephrased to avoid plagiarism, since 48.4% plagiarism is found when scanned with trial version of plagscan.</p> <p>Result and Discussion Result shows that traditional drying (shade drying with electric fan at temperature between 23.5 to 32.3 °C) have negative effect on seed germination than mechanical drying (35 °C). Strong justification for this claim was not provided. It may be included</p>	<p>This has been rephrased</p> <p>revised</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Instead of providing ANOVA table (Table 1), complete interaction table may be provided, in order to have higher transparency	