



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_43265
Title of the Manuscript:	GROWTH AND YIELD RESPONSES OF CABBAGE CULTIVARS AS INFLUENCED BY ORGANIC AND INORGANIC FERTILIZERS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The abstract should include salient results and not all results in detail. It will be necessary to give the meaning of inorganic fertilizers TSP and MP. It lacks conclusion.</p> <p>The part " Material and method " must be subdivided and subtitled: 1. study site, 2. vegetable material, 3.organic and inorganic material, 4. Experimental design and treatments, 5.Cabbage growth condition , measurement of parameters, 6. data analysis. The material used has not been presented in this section. The parameters measured in the study and their methods are not indicated.</p> <p>Results concerning, tables presented in the document are not scientific tables with vertical lines. The term DAT should not appear on the x-axis but in the title of the axis. It will be necessary to indicate on which date corresponds At harvest.</p> <p>Figures 1 and 2 presentations have curves almost confused, it will use histograms as figures 3 and 4 to better show the differences.</p> <p>Error bars should be indicated on the graphs. The data of LSD should not be indicated in the title of the figures but rather in the text.</p> <p>In discussion, variety effect on the plants heights was not explained, this effect could be due to what?</p> <p>The author also emphasizes that these results are consistent with those of others without indicating theirs results.</p> <p>Importance of the variables measured should be not indicated in the results comment. The measured yield parameters are rather production parameters. It would have been necessary to bring them back to the cultivated surface to speak of performance</p> <p>In the conclusion, before indicating the treatment that gave the best results with figures, it is first necessary to give an answer to the problematic of the study.</p> <p>Bibliographic references must be reviewed The number of pages of articles consulted, dates of consultation online</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abobi Akre Hebert Damien
Department, University & Country	Agroforestry Training and Research Unit Ufr Agroforesterie, Jean Lorougnon Guédé University, Côte D'ivoire