



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture	
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_42953	
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Different Levels of Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium on Insect and Pests in T. Aman Rice (Oryza sativa L.)	
New Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF NITROGEN, PHOSPHORUS AND POTASSIUM (NPK) APPLICATION ON INSECT PESTS INFESTING TRANSPLANTING AMAN RICE (ORYZA SATIVA L.)	
Type of Article:	Original Research Article	

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments	
The manuscript has been revised substantially. However, further revision is required		
concerning the following aspects:		
- The interpretation of the significance of your findings should not be presented in the		
conclusion, but in section "Results and discussion".		
- Lines 41-42: the sentence "The estimated loss of rice in Bangladesh due to insect pests		
and diseases amounts to 1.5 to 2.0 million tons (Siddique, 1992)" should be "the annual		
estimated loss of rice in Bangladesh due to insect pests and diseases amounts to 1.5 to		
2.0 million tons (Siddique, 1992)". Note that this estimated loss might change over the		
years (more than 20 years after the report cited here). Please look for the more recent		
publication.		
- Lines 64-66: abbreviations "IPM and BPH" should be fully given at the first use		
- Line 67: the words "et al." should be changed to an italic front		
- Table 1: please add as footnote the following sentence: "In a column means having disciplination latter(c) and there having disciplination latter(c) at the sentence of the		
similar letter(s) are statistically identical and those having dissimilar letter(s) differentiation is a set of the statistical of the set of t		
significantly as per 0.05 level of probability"		
- Line 129: please this section should be numbered as "3.1"		
- Sections "3.1.2 to 3.1.7" should be "3.1.1 to 3.1.6"		
- Section 3.4: please discuss why the number of rice hispa was lower from T_5 than T_1		
treatments, because according to authors you cited to justify your finding the number of		
rice hispa should be lower from T1 than T5 in contrast to your result.		
- References 9, 14, 16, 19: please cheek and correct for page numbers		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Daniel Kosini
Department, University & Country	Saint-Jérôme Catholic University Institute of Douala, Cameroon

