



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_39479
Title of the Manuscript:	Yield performance of tomato hybrids during summer season in Sylhet
Type of the Article	Original Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No	
Minor REVISION comments	Introduction- 27 line- better performances- references missing Materials and methods - 47 line-almost –delete Materials and methods – 48,49 line-MP/Mop uniform representation Results and Discussion – 72,73 line-earlier result to first flower-earliest flower Results and Discussion- 74 line- better- earlier Results and Discussion- 77 line-fruit (46.65 g) - per plant? Results and Discussion-78 line- while fruit yield- minimum fruit yield Results and Discussion- 79 line- satisfactory- delete Results and Discussion- 101 line-fruit size (43.08 g)- fruit yield (43.08 g) Results and Discussion-118,119 line- largest/smallest amount- highest/lowest yield Results and Discussion- 132 line-sensible- significantly	
Optional/General comments	This manuscript is precisely written.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R.Mahalakshmi
Department, University & Country	India