1	Original Research Paper
2	HETEROSIS FOR ENHANCED SHELF LIFE AND
3	EARLINESS IN ONIONS
4	(Allium cepaL.) GENOTYPES
5	Abstract
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Thirty-seven Onion (<i>Allium cepa</i> L.) genotypes comprising of twelve parents (12) and twenty-five hybrids were evaluated for enhanced storage shelf life and early maturity at the <i>Fadama</i> Teaching and Research farm of the Department of Crop Science,UsmanuDanfodiyo University Sokoto during the 2015/2016 dry season. The objective of the research was to determine superior hybrids that can store as well as those that matures early. The treatments were laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. After harvesting, the genotypes were stored for five months under farmers practice. The analysis of the results indicated significant (P < 0.05) difference between the genotypes with respect to plant height, number of leaves per plant, leaf area, leaf area index, percentage bolting, days to maturity, bulb diameter, bulb height, average bulb weight, fresh bulb yield, cured bulb yield, yield and percentage loss after five months of storage. Cross B × K had the tallest plants (57.73 cm), B × E had the highest number of leaves/plant of 17, B × K had the broadest leaf area of 166.5 cm ² , B × E recorded the highest leaf area index of 4.704, H × L recorded highest bolting percentage of 69.45%, E × F recorded lowest number of days to maturity of 91 days, E × F recorded broadest bulb diameter of 8.75cm, D × H recorded tallest bulb height of 7.2 cm, B × E had the highest average bulb weight, fresh bulb yield and cured bulb yield of 0.282kg, 47t/ha, and 46.11t/ha respectively. Cross C × E had the least percentage loss of 23.60%, while E × F had the highest loss of 68.15%. Based on the results obtained. The cross C × E was recommended for storage while B × E was recommended for early maturity.
25	INTRODUCTION
26	Onion (Allium cepaL.) belongs to the family Alliaceae, other members include shallot (A.
27	cepaL. var. aggregation G. Don.), common garlic (A. sativumL.), leek (A. ampeloprasumL.
28	var. porrumL.) and chive (A. schoenoprasumL.) (Griffiths et al., 2002). It originated from
29	tropical central or western Asia and has been cultivated for a long period of time (Lonzotti,
30	2006). The cultivated onion is grown under a wide range of climates from temperate to
31	tropical, it is the most important member of the family Alliaceae with monocotyledonous and
32	cross pollinating behaviuor. It has diploid chromosome number 16 ($2n = 16$) (Khokhar,
33	2014). Onion is a biennial vegetable crop, its economic yield is bulb. Bulb formation is
34	complicated and environmental factors such day length, temperature, moisture, soil type,

35 fertilization, pests and diseases affect its yield. Onion cultivars do not always perform in the

36 same way year in year out and environmental factors strongly affect the development of 37 onion cultivars (Seyedeet al., 2013). The total world production of onions in 2013 was 38 4,281,501 tons, out of which 648,247 tons were obtained from Africa, 267,164 tons from 39 West Africa and 235,000 tons from Nigeria. These tonnages were obtained from 230,180 ha, 40 46,469 ha. 16,221 ha and 14,000 ha with average yield of 18,600.8 kg/ha globally, 13,950.1 41 kg/ha in West Africa, 16,470.3 kg/ha and 16,785.7 kg/ha for Nigeria (FAOSTAT, 2013). 42 Onion is valued for its distinct pungent flavour and its essential ingredients cuisine. It is 43 consumed round the year by all the sections of people through-out the world due to its 44 healing properties in case of cardiac diseases, rheumatism, cancer, digestive disorders, blood 45 sugar and prolong cough (Singh et al., 2013). Onions are used both as foods and as 46 seasoning; the immature bulbs are eaten raw or cooked and eaten as vegetable (Abubakar and 47 Ado, 2013). Onion contains a phytochemical called Quercetin, which is effective in reducing 48 cardiovascular diseases (Smith, 2003). According to Abubakar and Ado (2013), bulb Onion 49 resembles maize with predominant out crossing, historical maintenance by open pollination, 50 severe inbreeding depression and significant heterosisafter crossing among inbred lines. The 51 objective of the study is to identify Onion hybrids that can be stored for at least five months 52 without much loses as well as hybrids that matures early by determining their heterotic 53 potentials.

54

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at *Fadama*Teaching and Research farm of UsmanuDanfodiyo University, Sokoto (Lat 13° 06′ 28″ N and Long 05° 12′ 46″ E) during the 2015/2016 onion season (October 2015 – April 2016). The climate The climate is semiarid with a zone of savannah-type vegetation as part of the sub-Saharan Sudan belt of West Africa. falls in Sudan Savanna agro-ecological zone. The rainfall starts mostly in June and ends in

October with a mean annual rainfall of about 350 - 700 mm. The temperature of Sokoto
ranges from 40 to 15°C (Arnborg, 1988).

The experiments consist of 12 parents (Table 1) and 25 hybrids (Table 2) making 37 Onion genotypes. Seeds of the genotypes were raised in the nursery where the soil was thoroughly mixed with farm yard manure at the rate of 5.5 t/ha. A sunken bed of $3.5m \times 3m$ was constructed, divided into 37 segments and irrigated for two days. seeds of the genotypes were broadcasted in each segment and covered with millet stalk. The bed was irrigated daily and the stalks removed gradually after one week. The seedlings were then watered in the evening daily for ten days, then at three days interval. The seedlings were allowed to grow for seven weeks and then transplanted. The land of the study experimental area was cleared off vegetation, ploughed and harrowed. the physical and chemical properties of the site was also determined before planting (Table 3)

72	Table 1:	List of	parents	and their	designations
----	----------	---------	---------	-----------	--------------

S/N	Parent	Designation	S/N	Parent	Designation		
1	KoriyaTounfafi Niger Republic	А	7	G	YarWurno		
2	Yar Aka Aliero	В	8	Н	Jar AlbasaIllela		
3	Yaska	С	9	Ι	YarTungarTudu		
4	Tasa	D	10	J	Jar AlbasaGwaranyo		
5	Marsa	E	11	K	KibaGwaranyo		
6	YarGigane	F	12	L	YarDawakin Kudu		

73	S/N=	Serial	Num	ber

S/N	Gen	S/N	Gen
1	A× C	14	D × H
2	$A \times F$	15	$D \times J$
3	A× L	16	$\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{F}$
4	$B \times E$	17	$E \times H$
5	$B \times K$	18	$E \times I$
6	$C \times E$	19	$E \times K$
7	$C \times F$	20	$F \times J$
8	$C \times G$	21	$F \times L$
9	$C \times H$	22	$G \times K$
10	C × I	23	$G \times L$
11	$C \times J$	24	$H \times L$
12	$C \times K$	25	$K \times L$
13	D × G		

Table 2: List of the 25 genotypes comprising of the parents and their hybrids

84 S/N= Serial Number and Gen= Genotype

Table 3: Physical and chemical properties of soil of the experimental site at kwalkwalawa village sokoto

Parameters	0 – 15cm	15 – 30cm	
Particle size distribution			
Sand (g/kg)	704	351	
Silt (g/kg)	292	398	
Clay (g/kg)	4	251	
Ph	4.5	5.4	
Organic carbon (g/kg)	10.6	10.2	
Organic matter (g/kg)	18.3	17.6	
Nitrogen (g/kg)	0.84	0.42	
Phosphorous (g/kg)	1.04	0.94	
Calcium (mol/kg)	0.50	0.35	
Magnesium (mol/kg)	0.20	0.15	
Potassium (mol/kg)	1.03	0.97	
Sodium (mol/kg)	1.00	0.87	
CEC (mol/kg)	6.36	5.06	

87

The seedlings were laid out in a randomized complete block design with one raw per treatment replicated three time. N.P.K 15:15:15 was applied at 30kg N/ha, 30kg P₂O₅/ha and 30 kg K₂O/ha as a basal application and subsequently top dressed with 30 kg N/ha using urea at 3 WAT. Seedlings were planted at a spacing of $15 \text{cm} \times 20 \text{cm}$. Irrigation was at two days after planting and thereafter at five days' interval. The first and second weeding were done at 4th and 8th week after transplanting (WAT). After harvesting the cured bulbs were stored for five months. Data was collected on plant height (cm), number of leaves/plant, leaf area (cm₂), leaf area index, bolting percentage (%), days to maturity, bulb diameter (cm), bulb height
(cm), fresh bulb weight (t/ha), cured bulb weight (t/ha) and percentage loss. Data collected
ware analyzed using Genstat 17th edition.

98

RESULTS

99 Result of the study indicated significant difference between the parents and the crosses with 100 respect to plant height, leaf number, leaf area, leaf area index, bolting percentage and days to 101 maturity (Table 4). Cross $B \times K$ had the longest height of 57.76cm, followed by cross $B \times E$ 102 with 57.73cm and KL with 57.40cm. Cross $A \times F$ on the other hand had the shortest plant 103 height of 36.41cm followed by Parent H with 39.53cm and cross $C \times J$ with 41.19cm.

104 In terms of number of leaves cross $B \times E$ had the highest leaves number of 17 leaves 105 followed by parents L with 15 leaves and D and E and $E \times I$ with 13 leaves each (Table 4). 106 Cross $C \times L$, $C \times K$ and $D \times G$ however had the least leaves number of 8 leaves followed by 107 cross $H \times L$, $G \times L$, H, $E \times H$, $C \times G$ and $A \times F$ with 9 leaves each. Cross $B \times K$ had the broadest leaf area of 166.5 cm² followed by cross K × L and B × E with 166.4 cm² and 164.8 108 109 cm^2 respectively (Table 4). Cross A \times F on the other hand had the least leaf area of 66.0cm^2 followed by parent H and cross C × J with 80.70cm^2 and 88.50cm^2 respectively 110 111 (Table 4).

In terms of leaf area index cross $B \times E$ had the highest leaf area index of 4.704 followed by parent L and cross $E \times I$ with 3.794 and 3.433 respectively. Cross $A \times F$ had the least leaf area index of 0.935 followed by parent H and K with 1.180 and 1.260 respectively (Table 4). Cross $H \times L$ had the highest bolting percentage of 69.45% followed by $E \times I$ with 53.33. Cross $B \times E$ and parent D had no bolters (0%) while parent F and cross $D \times H$ had the list bolting percentage of 6.67% (Table 4).

118 With regard to days to 50% maturity, cross $C \times E$ had the highest number of days of 138 days 119 followed by C \times I with 135 days, cross E \times F on the other had the least number of days to 120 50% maturity of 91 days followed by $E \times K$ with 94 days followed by $E \times I$ and $G \times L$ with 121 95 days (Table 4). Result of the research also showed significant difference between the 122 genotypes with respect to bulb diameter, bulb length, yield and cured bulb weight (Table 5). 123 However, cross $E \times F$ had the widest bulb with diameter of 8.75 cm followed by GL and $B \times$ 124 E with 8.09 and 7.93 cm respectively (Table 5). Cross $G \times K$ on the other hand had the least 125 bulb diameter of 4.5 cm followed by $C \times J$ and $D \times I$ with 5.18 cm and 5.44 cm respectively. 126 In terms of bulb height, cross $D \times H$ had the tallest bulb with height of 7.20 cm followed by 127 parent C and L with 7.06 and 6.91 cm respectively (Table 5). Cross $G \times K$, $C \times J$ and parent J 128 on the other hand had the least bulb height of 3.30, 3.49, and 4.15 cm respectively (Table 5). 129 The highest yield of 47 t/ha was obtained in Cross $B \times E$ followed by parent E and cross $D \times E$ 130 J with 42.70 and 41.45 t/ha respectively. Parent I on the other hand had the list yield of 8.60 131 t/ha followed by $D \times G$ and $C \times K$ with 9.10 t/ha and 12.25 t/ha respectively (Table 5). Cross 132 B \times E had highest bulb weight of 0.2820 kg followed by cross E \times F with 0.2562 kg. In terms 133 of cured bulb weight cross $B \times E$ had the highest weight of 46.11 t/ha followed by parent E 134 and cross J with 38.15 and 37.62 t/ha respectively. The least cured bulb weight was however 135 recorded by parent I as 7.93 t/ha followed by $D \times G$ and $K \times L$ with 8.42 t/ha and 11.01 t/ha 136 respectively (Table 5). With regards to percentage weight loss over five months, cross $E \times F$ 137 had the highest loss of 68.15 % followed G \times L and D \times J with 67.35 % and 66.95 % 138 respectively. Cross C \times E on the other hand had the lowest percentage loss of 23.60 % 139 followed by D \times G and C \times I with 26.10 % and 27.45 % respectively (Table 5). The result of 140 mid-parent heterosis with respect to percentage weight loss over five months showed 141 heterosis ranging from -65.37 % to 113.30 %. Cross $B \times E$ had the highest heterosis (MPH) 142 of 113.30 % followed by E \times I with 67.44 %. Cross C \times J on the other hand had the least

heterosis (MPH) of 65.37 % followed by C × K with -64.37 % (Table 6). The result of high parent heterosis with respect to weight loss over five months showed high-parent heterosis ranging from -57.32 % to 106.36 %. Cross B × E however had the highest heterotic value of 106.36 % followed by F × J and K × L with 65.69 and 45.78 % respectively. Cross C × E on the other hand had the least heterosis of -57.32 % followed by D × H and C × I with -51.62and -50.36 % respectively (Table 6).

149

150 Table 4:Effect of genotype on quantitative characters Onion evaluated during 2015/2016 dry

151	season at UsmanuDanfodiyo	University Teac	hing and Research	Fadama Farm, Sokoto.

Treatment	Plant Height	Number	Leaf Area	Leaf Area	Bolting	Days to
	(cm)	Leave/plant	(cm)	Index	Percentage (%)	Maturity
А	47.75 ^{a-h}	11 ^{c-f}	119.4 ^{a-h}	2.048 ^{d-j}	20.00 ^{g-1}	100 ^{cde}
A × C	44.31 ^{d-i}	10 ^{c-f}	103.2 ^{d-i}	1.640 ^{e-j}	26.67 ^{d-i}	112 ^{gh}
$A \times F$	36.41 ⁱ	9 ^{def}	66.0^{i}	0.935 ^j	33.33 ^{c-g}	126 ¹
$A \times L$	49.49^{a-h}	12^{cde}	127.6 ^{a-h}	2.446 ^{c-i}	46.67 ^{bc}	112 ^{gh}
В	56.7 ^{3ab}	12^{cde}	161.7 ^{ab}	3.146 ^{bcd}	20.00 ^{g-1}	126 ¹
$B \times E$	57.73 ^a	17^{a}	166.4 ^a	4.704^{a}	0.00^{m}	98 ^{bcd}
$B \times K$	57.76 ^a	10 ^{c-f}	166.5 ^a	2.643 ^{b-g}	39.45 ^{b-e}	99 ^{bcd}
С	52.54 ^{a-f}	11 ^{c-f}	141.9 ^{a-f}	2.602 ^{b-h}	20.00^{e-1}	112^{gh}
$C \times E$	51.17 ^{a-g}	10 ^{c-f}	135.5 ^{a-g}	2.260 ^{c-j}	39.45 ^{b-f}	138 ⁿ
$C \times F$	44.69^{d-i}	10 ^{c-f}	105.0d ^{e-i}	1.808 ^{e-j}	26.67 ^{d-j}	129 ^{lm}
$C \times G$	45.82 ^{c-i}	9 ^{def}	110.3 ^{c-i}	1.668 ^{e-j}	20.00^{e-1}	133 ^{mn}
$C \times H$	52.48^{a-f}	10 ^{c-f}	141.7^{a-f}	2.302 ^{c-i}	20.00e ^{f-1}	111^{gh}
C×I	46.29 ^{b-i}	10 ^{c-f}	112.5 ^{b-i}	2.012^{d-j}	46.67 ^{bc}	135 ⁿ
$C \times J$	41.19 ^{ghi}	10 ^{c-f}	$88.5^{ m ghi}$	1.477^{f-j}	19.45 ^{g-l}	114^{hi}
$C \times K$	47.33 ^{a-h}	8^{f}	117.4 ^{a-h}	1.504^{f-j}	13.33 ^{i-m}	118 ^{ij}
D	48.31 ^{a-h}	13 ^{bc}	122.0 ^{a-h}	2.679 ^{b-f}	0.00^{m}	107^{fg}
D×G	$42.28^{\text{f-i}}$	8 ^{ef}	93.6 ^{f-i}	1.302 ^{g-j}	20.00^{e-1}	133 ^{mn}
DхH	49.53 ^{a-h}	10 ^{c-f}	127.8 ^{a-h}	2.335 ^{c-i}	6.67 ^{ilm}	113 ^{hi}
D × J	55.04^{a-d}	12^{bcd}	153.8 ^{a-d}	3.214 ^{bcd}	13.33 ^{i-m}	105 ^{ef}
Е	42.26^{f-i}	13 ^{bcd}	93.5 ^{f-i}	1.947 ^{d-j}	0.00^{m}	124 ^{jl}
$E \times F$	55.87^{abc}	11 ^{c-f}	157.6 ^{abc}	2.881 ^{b-e}	13.33 ^{g-m}	91 ^a
$E \times H$	45.29 ^{c-i}	9 ^{def}	107.8 ^{c-i}	1.556 ^{e-j}	26.67^{d-k}	111 ^{gh}
Ε×Ι	54.66 ^{a-e}	13 ^{bcd}	151.9 ^{a-e}	3.433 ^{bc}	53.33 ^{ab}	95 ^{abc}
$E \times K$	49.81 ^{a-h}	10 ^{c-f}	129.1 ^{a-h}	2.077^{d-j}	19.45 ^{g-1}	94^{ab}
F	50.62 ^{a-g}	11 ^{c-f}	132.9 ^{a-g}	2.358 ^{c-i}	6.67^{i-m}	115 ^{hi}
F×J	46.39 ^{b-i}	10 ^{c-f}	113.0 ^{b-i}	1.883 ^{d-j}	13.33 ^{g-m}	109^{fgh}
$F \times L$	46.29 ^{b-i}	10 ^{c-f}	112.5 ^{b-i}	1.892^{d-j}	26.67^{d-k}	125 ¹
G	52.32 ^{a-f}	10 ^{c-f}	140.9 ^{a-f}	2.283 ^{c-i}	20.00^{e-1}	118^{ijk}
G×K	55.83 ^{abc}	10 ^{c-f}	157.5 ^{abc}	2.625 ^{b-g}	20.00 ^{e-1}	112 ^{gh}
G × L	54.12 ^{a-e}	9 ^{def}	149.4 ^{a-e}	2.243 ^{c-j}	13.33 ^{g-m}	95 ^{a-d}
Н	39.53 ^{hi}	9 ^{def}	$80.7^{\rm hi}$	1.180^{ij}	26.67 ^{d-i}	118^{gh}
$H \times L$	48.61 ^{a-h}	9 ^{def}	123.5 ^{a-h}	1.772 ^{e-j}	69.45 ^a	129 ^{lm}
Ι	45.70 ^{c-i}	11 ^{c-f}	109.7 ^{c-i}	1.941 ^{d-j}	40.00^{bcd}	101 ^{de}
J	53.12 ^{a-e}	10 ^{c-f}	144.7 ^{a-e}	2.408^{c-i}	41.12 ^{bcd}	115 ^{hi}
Κ	43.89 ^{e-i}	8^{f}	101.2 ^{e-i}	1.267^{hij}	26.67 ^{d-k}	96 ^{a-d}

K × L	57.40 ^a	12^{cde}	164.8 ^a	3.176 ^{bcd}	19.43 ^{g-1}	125^{1}
L	53.90 ^{a-e}	15^{ab}	148.4^{a-e}	3.794^{ab}	33.33 ^{c-h}	98 ^{bcd}
Significance	**	**	**	**	**	**
C.V (%)	10.9	17.7	20.0	29.4	36.9	2.7
S.E	3.100	1.513	14.600	0.383	5.748	1.768
F Pr	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001

152 Note: Treatment Means assigned with the same letters are not statistically different at 5%

153 level of significance Duncan's New Multiple Range Test (DNMRT). **= Highly significant.

154

155	Table 5: Effect of genotype on	quantitative characters Onion	evaluated during 2015/2016 dry

156 season at UsmanuDanfodiyo University Teaching and Research Fadama Farm, Sokoto.

Treatment	Bulb Diameter (cm)	Bulb Height (cm)	Average Bulb Weight (kg)	Fresh bulb weight	Cured Bulb Weight (t/ha)	Weight loss (%)
	(em)	(•••••)		(t/ha)		
A	7.82 ^{a-d}	6.18 ^{a-g}	0.2292 ^b	38.20 ^b	37.57 ^b	54.90 ^{opq}
$A \times C$	6.61 ^{b-i}	6.17^{a-g}	0.1497 ^{e-j}	24.95 ^{e-j}	24.68 ^{d-h}	41.35 ^{jk}
$A \times F$	$6.00e^{\text{f-j}}$	5.31 ^{c-i}	0.0978^{k-o}	16.30 ^{k-o}	14.91 ^{k-o}	32.75 ^{def}
$A \times L$	6.95^{b-i}	5.87^{a-g}	0.1497 ^{e-j}	24.95 ^{e-j}	24.65 ^{d-h}	46.90^{lm}
В	6.03 ^{e-j}	5.71 ^{a-i}	0.2403^{ab}	40.05^{ab}	39.39 ^b	32.25 ^{def}
$B \times E$	7.93 ^{abc}	4.55 ^{g-k}	0.2820^{a}	47.00^{a}	46.11 ^a	66.55 ^{rs}
$B \times K$	7.66^{a-e}	5.77^{a-h}	0.2253^{bc}	37.55 ^{bc}	36.95 ^b	57.15 ^{pq}
С	7.43 ^{a-f}	7.06^{ab}	0.1014^{j-n}	16.90 ^{j-n}	16.13 ^{j-n}	55.30 ^{opq}
$C \times E$	5.57 ^{g-j}	5.41 ^{b-i}	0.1422 ^{e-k}	23.70 ^{e-k}	21.93 ^{e-k}	23.60 ^a
$C \times F$	6.05^{d-j}	6.12 ^{a-g}	0.1266^{g-1}	21.10^{g-1}	19.47 ^{g-1}	30.60 ^{cde}
$C \times G$	6.53 ^{b-i}	6.29 ^{a-f}	0.1407^{e-k}	23.45 ^{e-k}	21.00 ^{f-k}	27.90^{bc}
$C \times H$	7.14^{a-h}	6.80^{a-d}	0.1209^{h-m}	20.15 ^{h-m}	19.04 ^{h-m}	49.40^{mn}
C×I	5.98 ^{e-j}	6.80^{a-d}	0.1356^{e-1}	22.60^{e-1}	$20.88^{\text{f-l}}$	27.45 ^{abc}
$C \times J$	5.18^{ij}	5.38 ^{b-i}	0.1731 ^{d-g}	28.85^{d-g}	26.69 ^{c-g}	39.30 ^{hij}
$C \times K$	$5.66^{\text{f-j}}$	$4.76^{\text{f-k}}$	0.0735 ^{mno}	12.25^{mno}	11.21 ^{no}	37.00 ^{ghi}
D	7.27 ^{a-g}	4.92 ^{e-k}	0.1818 ^{cde}	30.30 ^{cde}	29.53 ^{cd}	53.95 ^{op}
$D \times G$	6.25 ^{c-j}	6.39 ^{a-f}	0.0546^{no}	9.10 ^{no}	8.48°	26.10^{ab}
$D \times H$	6.92^{b-i}	7.20^{a}	0.1317^{f-1}	$21.95^{\text{f-l}}$	$20.74^{\text{f-l}}$	42.05^{jk}
$D \times J$	8.00^{abc}	4.15 ^{h-k}	0.2487^{ab}	41.45 ^{ab}	37.45 ^b	66.95 ^{rs}
E	6.36 ^{b-i}	4.80 ^{e-k}	0.2562^{ab}	42.70^{ab}	38.15 ^b	30.15 ^{b-e}
$E \times F$	8.75 ^a	6.84^{abc}	0.1554^{e-i}	25.90 ^{e-i}	23.13 ^{d-j}	68.15 ^s
$E \times H$	5.50^{g-j}	4.88^{e-k}	0.181 ^{5cde}	30.25 ^{cde}	27.11 ^{c-f}	38.05^{g-j}
Ε×Ι	7.87 ^{abc}	6.50 ^{a-e}	0.2259 ^{bc}	37.65 ^{bc}	33.65 ^{bc}	64.95 ^{rs}
$E \times K$	7.19 ^{a-h}	5.11 ^{d-j}	0.1293 ^{f-l}	$21.55^{\text{f-l}}$	19.94 ^{f-l}	51.65 ^{no}
F	7.16 ^{a-h}	5.95 ^{a-g}	0.1350e ^{f-l}	22.50^{e-1}	$20.12^{\text{f-l}}$	51.25 ^{no}
F×J	7.02^{a-h}	5.90 ^{a-g}	0.0897^{1-0}	14.95^{1-0}	13.41 ¹⁻⁰	48.80 ^{mn}
$F \times L$	5.87 ^{fg-j}	4.03 ^{ijk}	0.1602 ^{e-h}	26.70 ^{e-h}	24.07^{d-i}	33.95 ^{efg}
G	6.96 ^{b-i}	5.70 ^{a-i}	0.2169 ^{bcd}	36.15 ^{bcd}	32.52 ^{bc}	46.20 ^{lm}
$G \times K$	4.50 ^j	3.30 ^k	0.1116 ^{h-m}	18.60 ^{h-m}	16.79 ⁱ⁻ⁿ	40.15^{ijk}
$G \times L$	8.09 ^{ab}	6.84^{abc}	0.1077^{i-m}	17.95 ^{i-m}	16.07 ^{j-n}	67.35 ^s
Н	5.69 ^{f-j}	5.46^{b-i}	0.0738 ^{mno}	12.30^{mno}	$11.80^{mno}_{}$	35.05 ^{fgh}
$H \times L$	6.72^{b-i}	6.11 ^{a-g}	0.1134^{h-m}	18.90 ^{h-m}	16.87 ⁱ⁻ⁿ	29.40 ^{bcd}
Ι	6.48 ^{b-i}	5.91 ^{a-g}	0.0516°	8.60°	7.93°	39.20 ^{hij}
J	5.44^{hij}	3.49 ^{jk}	0.2439 ^{ab}	40.65^{ab}	37.62 ^b	43.85 ^{kl}
K	7.72^{a-e}	5.41 ^{b-i}	0.1767^{def}	29.45 ^{def}	28.93 ^{cde}	59.05 ^q
$K \times L$	6.00^{e-j}	4.80^{e-k}	0.0736 ^{mno}	12.27 ^{mno}	11.01 ^{no}	32.25 ^{def}
L	7.85 ^{abc}	6.91 ^{abc}	$0.1527e^{f-i}$	25.45 ^{e-i}	22.93d ^{e-j}	63.05 ^r

Significance	**	**	**	**	**	**
C.V (%)	13.3	15.2	16.5	16.5	16.1	5.2
S.E	0.515	0.4951	0.01458	2.430	2.208	1.343
F Pr	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
						- ~

157 Note: Treatment Means assigned with the same letters are not statistically different at 5%

158 level of significance Duncan's New Multiple Range Test (DNMRT). **= Highly significant

159 Table 6: Mid parent and High Parent heterosis for weight loss and early maturity for 19 cross

160 evaluated during 2015/2016 dry season at UsmanuDanfodiyo University Teaching and

161 Research Fadama Farm, Sokoto.

Cross	Weight Loss		Maturity	
	MPH (%)	HPH (%)	MPH (%)	HPH (%)
A × C	-24.95*	-24.68*	5.8ns	0.0ns
$A \times F$	-38.29**	-40.35**	17.2ns	9.6ns
A × L	-0.32*	-14.57*	13.3*	12.3*
$B \times E$	113.30*	106.36**	-21.7*	-22.5*
$B \times K$	25.19*	-3.22*	-40.0**	-47.2**
C×E	-44.76**	-57.32**	17.1ns	11.7ns
C × F	-42.56*	-44.67*	13.2*	14.6*
C×G	-45.02**	-49.55**	15.4*	12.4*
C × H	9.35ns	-10.67ns	-0.6ns	-0.9ns
C×I	-41.90*	-50.36*	26.9*	20.5*
C × J	-65.37**	-28.93**	-65.4**	-65.8**
C × K	-64.42**	-37.34**	-64.4**	-67.0**
D ×G	-0.21*	6.78*	-9.2*	-14.9*
D × H	-47.88**	-51.62**	18.1ns	12.4ns
D × J	-5.51*	-22.06*	3.3ns	1.2ns
E×F	-5.85*	-18.72*	10.3ns	7.2ns
Ε×Η	36.91**	24.10**	-39.7**	-41.8**
Ε×Ι	67.44*	32.98*	-24.0*	-26.6*
Ε×Κ	-11.82*	-25.76*	-5.9ns	-10.4ns
F × J	43.62ns	65.69ns	-15.7*	-23.4*
F×L	-53.05*	-12.53*	-53.0**	-58.3**
G×K	-57.57**	-4.78**	-57.6**	-57.6**
G×L	-40.59**	-46.15**	16.9*	8.4*
$H \times L$	-62.48**	-32.01**	-62.5*	-66.0*
K × L	23.30ns	45.78ns	-12.0*	-19.4*

Note: MPH = Mid-parent heterosis, HPH = High-parent heterosis, *= significant, ** = highly 162 163 significant and ns = not significant. 164 165 166 DISCUSSION The analysis of the results indicated that the genotypes differ with respect to plant height, this 167 168 could be attributed to the effect of genotype. However, the three tallest plants Cross $B \times K$, B 169 \times E and K \times L were hybrids. According to Renato *et al.* (2014), the high leaf-area index is as 170 a result of the greater height of hybrid plants, which indicates a consequently high rate of 171 photosynthesis, suggesting the production of more photoassimilates to be stored as reserves 172 in the bulb. These crosses had high leaf area index with $B \times E$ recording the highest leaf area 173 index. Similar results were obtained by Renato et al. (2014) while evaluating eight hybrids of 174 onion. The result of the experiment also indicated difference between the genotypes in terms 175 of number of leaves per plant. Cross $B \times E$ had the highest number of leaves per plant and 176 leaf area index. The high leaf area could be as a result of high number of leaves which is one 177 of the major contributors to high leaf area and leaf area index.

178 The result observed variation in days to maturity, this may be due to the effect of the 179 genotypes. There was also significant difference between the genotypes with respect to 180 percentage weight loss over five months. With cross $C \times E$, $D \times G$, and $C \times I$ having the least 181 weight loss. However the crosses and parents that matured early had wide bulb diameter and 182 did not store well, this could be as a result of the short period they took on field which 183 resulted in high moisture content, large bulb size and consequently low dry mater content. 184 Yemaneet al. (2013) concluded that the inability of Bombay Red to store well in their 185 experiment could be as a result of its early maturity which resulted to large bulb size and low 186 dry matter content. They however recommended the variety for immediate use after harvest. 187 Martinez et al. (2005) reported storage quality to be negatively correlated with some bulb 188 characteristics such as bulb diameter. Sorensen and Grevsen, (2001) also observed increased 189 incident of neck rot disease in early harvested onion bulbs during storage. Big size Onion

190 bulbs have been reported with highest juice and more weight loss in ambient storage (Sing 191 and Sing, 2003). In terms cured bulb yield cross $B \times E$ had the highest mean followed by 192 parent E and cross $D \times J$, the significant difference observed could be as a result of the effect 193 of genotype. The average yield obtained is by far greater than the FAOSTAT (2012) world 194 average (18.68 t/ha), this indicates that famers might obtain yields higher than the world 195 average with this cross. The result of the study also revealed significant negative heterosis for 196 the crosses evaluated for both storage loss and days to maturity. Excellent performance of 197 hybrid onions was reported by Holand (1960). Crosses $D \times G$, $C \times G$, $C \times E$ and $C \times I$ had the 198 highest negative heterosis in terms of weight loss these could be excellent hybrid cultivars for 199 the characters in this location. However, with regard to early maturity cross $B \times E$, $C \times H$, $C \times H$ 200 L, E \times F, E \times H, E \times I, and G \times L had negative heterosis, indicating that this crosses has the 201 potentials of being used as commercial hybrids for early maturity. 202 CONCLUSION

The results conclude that cross $C \times E$ should be recommended for storage since it has appreciable cured bulb weight with the least storage loss, while $B \times E$ recommended for early

205 maturity although it is the earliest but it has the best yield.

206

REFERENCES

Abubakar, L. and Ado, S.G. (2013). Variability pattern for resistance to purple blotch
 (*Alternariaporri*) disease of onions (*Allium cepaL.*) in north western Nigeria.
 Nigerian Journal of Basic and Applied Science, 21(2): 109-115.

- Arnborg, T. (1988) Where savannah turns into desert. International rural development center.
 Swedish University of Agriculture Sciences Rural Development Studies.
- 212 FAOSTAT (2012). FAOSTAT Database Results.http://www.faostat.org
- 213 FAOSTAT (2013). FAOSTAT Database Results.http://www.faostat.org

Griffiths G., TruemanL., Crowther T., Thomas B., Smith B. (2002). Onions A Global Benefit
to Health Phytother. Res. 16: 603-615

Khokhar, K.M. (2014). Flowering and seed development in onion—A review. *Open Access Library Journal*, 1: el 049. <u>http://dx.doi.Org/l 0.4236/oalib. 1101049</u>

- Lonzotti V (2006). The analysis of onion and garlic. Chromatography, 112: 3-22.
- Martinez A. R., Paz J. F., Ares L. A. (2005). Evaluation of local onion lines from northwest
 Spain. *Spanish J. Agric. Res.* 3(1):9
- Renato L. C. N., Alexandre B. O., Alek S. D. (2014). Agronomic performance of onion
 hybrids in Baraúna, in the semi-aridregion of Brazil. *ArtigoCientífico*. 45 (3): 606-611
- Seyede, M.T.S, Mohsen, K., Davoud, H., Vahid, A. and Pezhman, M. (2013).Effectsof
 storage conditions on losses rate and some quality traits of six Iranian onion
 genotypes in karaj region, iran. *International journal of Agronomy and Plant Production*, (1), 151-156.
- Sing AK, Sing V (2003). Combined effect of set size and planting distance on kharif onion
 bulb. *Indian J. Agric.* Res. 37(41):287-290.
- Singh, S.R., Ahmed, N., Lai, S., Ganie, S.A., Mudasir, A., Nusrat, J. and Asima, A. (2013).
 Determination of genetic diversity in onion (*Allium cepaL.*) by multivariate
 analysis under long day conditions. *African Journal of Agricultural Research.* 8 (45),
 5599-5606.
- Smith, C. (2003). Genetic Analysis of Quercetin in Onion (*Allium cepaL.*) 'LaddyRaider'.*The Texas Journal of Agriculture and Natural Resource*, 16: 24 -28.
- Sorensen, J.N., Grevesen, K. (2001): Sprouting in bulb onion (Allium cepaL.) as influenced
 by nitrogen and water stress. *Journal of Horticultural Science and Biotechnology* 76:
 501-506.
- Yemane K., Fetien A. and Derbew B. (2013). Intra row spacing effect on shelf life of onion
 varieties (*Allium cepa* L.) at Aksum, Northern Ethiopia. *Journal of Plant Breeding*
- 240 and Crop Science, 5(6): 127-136