



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_41883
Title of the Manuscript:	VALUE ADDITION ASSESSMENT OF RICE PROCESSING IN ANAMBRA EAST AGRICULTURAL ZONE OF ANAMBRA STATE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is about the value addition assessment of rice processing in Anambra east agricultural zone of Anambra State, submitted to Asian Research Journal of Agriculture. This Manuscript uses a multi-stage random sampling technique was used to obtain information from descriptive statistics and gross margin analysis. The title is informative; the aim is clear, and the abstract match the rest of the article. Below are some comments to revise the manuscript:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Very long abstract: This section should be more compressed. • The paper needs thorough language editing (Please check the manuscript carefully for the correction of the English). • Cited material needs to be engaged within a critical way it cannot simply be copied (copy-paste), and it must be referenced. Example: Lines from 68-72 were copy-past from: <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Ugwu, J. N., Mgbakor, M. N., & Chitor, C. C. (2014). ASSESSMENT OF VALUE ADDITION IN RICE PRODUCTION AND PROCESSING IN ADANI IN UZOUWANI LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF ENUGU STATE, NIGERIA.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The used literature is outdated. <p>Results were not discussed. Add references to discuss and compare with international works.</p>	Noted
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		