



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_40356
Title of the Manuscript:	Control of Rhizome Rot Disease of Ginger through Application of Fungicides
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments:	I believe that the level of detail should be improved in materials and methods. Although the doses of the fungicides are indicated in the text, no mention is made of the way of doing the applications, nor of their periodicity. This lack of information affects the interpretation of results. The above is also linked to errors that are found in the discussion of results.	Thanks for your nice comments. I have added the ways of application.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		