



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_40356
Title of the Manuscript:	Control of Rhizome Rot Disease of Ginger through Application of Fungicides
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The data and statistics seem OKAY, but not the conclusions! Where the means overlap according to the multiple range test they must be assumed not to be different.</p> <p>There is no need to recapitulate everything in the tables in the text!</p>	I agreed with the reviewer in some parts of the manuscript which I have corrected and highlighted.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	See the marked up document I have attached for lots of suggested editing	I have edited the manuscript as per your suggestions.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Nice work that can be informative, but data and conclusions should agree.	I have rechecked the data and conclusions and corrected properly.