



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_39172
Title of the Manuscript:	ADOPTION OF RAINFED PADDY PRODUCTION TECHNOLOGIES AMONG SMALLHOLDER FARMERS: A CASE OF CENTRAL DISTRICT- ZANZIBAR, TANZANIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I found out that the latest references existing in the current manuscript's reference list were published in 2013, which seem not up-to-date compared to now (2018) if paper considering for publish in this year. Therefore, I strongly suggest author(s) to update and do more literature on current / most updated researches.	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In introduction section, author did not state clearly why Zanzibar was chosen as a research site and what are the important or significant of the current research? Moreover, descriptive statistics provided in introduction need also to be updated with the most updated information.</p> <p>Additionally, once "OCGS" appear for the first time, full word of it should be given.</p> <p>In material and method section, author(s) should also specify as well as give more explanations to why Central District was chosen for the current study, but not South, West or North B district by basing on exiting literatures or secondary statistics. Moreover, author(s) should also give reference to Figure 2 where author get this map from.</p> <p>While discussing on demographic characteristics, author(s) used data from 2010 census, is 2010 census the latest statistics for this area? If there are any other latest statistics, author(s) is (are) encouraged to use the latest statistics instead.</p> <p>In Equation 1, I still not understand well by the way author(s) showed this equation. Does it mean $[n = (Z \times 2 \times p \times q) / (d \times 2)]$? Maybe it was not. Thus, I suggest author(s) make it into easier way for reader to understand.</p> <p>On page 7, in Variables discussion section, I think author(s) should discuss based on current existing literatures to make sure important variable(s) did not unexpectedly omitted from the model. Moreover, based on literatures author(s) should also give extra discussions of effect direction (expected sign) of Xn variable(s) on Y variable.</p>	Noted
Optional/General comments	Author(s) should also discuss their findings with the most update literatures, particularly rice research literatures in Tanzania as well as in the world, as rice is one of the main crops in Tanzania.	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>