



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_38742
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimum K fertilizer level for growth and yield of Wheat (Triticum aestivum) in Cambisols of northern Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Remove s after discussion Results and discussions2. Remove in :The physical and chemical properties of in the experimental3. Table 2 shows that K fertilization has a promoting..... Grammatical error, change has to had4. Support Section 3.2 with references. It is very important that you support your results with work of other scientists5. Support section 3.3 with references6. Figure 1and 2 presented were not statistically analyzed and no LSD and error bar, This is not how to present scientific Figure7. Support Sections 3.5.1and 3.5.2 with references8. Table 3 was not analyzed statistically, no LSD for separation of means. This is not how to present scientific Table	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. We have corrected accordingly in the revised manuscript2. We have corrected accordingly in the revised manuscript3. We have corrected accordingly in the revised manuscript4. We have agreed and included in the revised manuscript5. We have agreed and included in the revised manuscript6. The grain and straw samples used for concentration analyses in the laboratory were not plot wise but treatments wise. Therefore, we cannot do variance analyses and mean separation of uptake in figure 1and 2.7. We have agreed and included in the revised manuscript8. It is not variance analysis and no need of mean separation. Rather, it is the simple division formula we have included the formula in the methodology part you can refer Eq.(1) and Eq.(2).
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		