



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Asian Research Journal of Agriculture</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_34874
Title of the Manuscript:	REASONS PROMPTING THE ADOPTION OF ORGANIC FERTILIZERS IN VEGETABLE PRODUCTION IN AGOTIME-ZIOPE DISTRICT, GHANA
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Research design</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Sampling method 2. Data collection method 3. Data analysis method <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Explanation of variables (how variables were measured) - A prior expectations - Response to Factors that Discourages Organic Fertilizer Usage in Vegetable Production not explained in methodology <p>Paragraphs in the introductory part of article does not connect well with each other.</p>	Corrected
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>References not cited in reference list:</p> <p>Bary <i>et al.</i>, 2004</p> <p>Rogers (1983)</p>	Corrected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Tenses used in write up should be consistent	