



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Research Journal of Agriculture |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ARJA_33865 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | HETEROSIS FOR ENHANCED SHELF LIFE AND EARLINESS IN ONIONS (<i>Allium cepa</i> L.) GENOTYPES |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Paper |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | | |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Write result one by one with data In result and discussion section write each and every parameter one by one with some recent | Noted Noted Noted |



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| | supporting article evidence. • Write conclusion elaborately | |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | | |

PART 2:

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |