



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_45649
Title of the Manuscript:	THS CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PALESTANIAN POSTER FROM 2008 TO 2018
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This is an important subject and worthy of publication—but not in its present form. The author throughout has made several highly political and inflammatory comments vis-à-vis the Israeli-Palestinian conflict and has shown his/her favours and predilections. Scholarly objectivity has thus been sacrificed to political views and thus, overall, political orientations. The language used throughout is highly prejudicial to his/her analyses of the posters.</p> <p>Secondly, there are any number of places where documentation and citation are needed (e.g. numbers of casualties in the various conflicts).</p> <p>Thirdly, the extensive bibliography of sources is impressive but is not integrated into the text as to where and how these sources were used.</p> <p>Fourthly, the author is apparently not a native English speaker/writer. This is not a critique but an observation. Overall, the manuscript would benefit in several places by editorial language correction and possible rewrite.</p> <p>If the author is seriously committed to publication, then this submission needs to be thoroughly revised with a focus on objective analyses of the posters themselves, what they reveal and what they suggest to the reader/observer.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> <u>YES, PLEASE SEE ABOVE!</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Steven L. Jacobs
Department, University & Country	University of Alabama, USA