



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_45649
Title of the Manuscript:	THS CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PALESTANIAN POSTER FROM 2008 TO 2018
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>Overall Article is Excellent Author choosing excellent topic of The Characteristics Of The Palestinian Poster From 2008 To 2018 and analysing this concept with non-structured interview an postal presentation With best of my knowledge, some general points find out and note down below.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Author specified Abstract with all aspects which are including this article. But, these are to be shown in Introduction part or separate side headings • Author used various posters for analysing this Topic. • One picture gives thousands of words meanings and attractive the people. • Article design and analysing in descriptive pattern is very good • Some spelling mistakes are found in this article • All recommendations are coming from research study • Sufficient references are included <p>Finally, this article is graded Excellent.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>SrinivasaRao Kasisomayajula</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Madanapalle Institute of Technology and Science, India</i>