SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Type of the Article	Review Paper
Title of the Manuscript:	THS CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PALESTANIAN POSTER FROM 2008 TO 2018
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_45649
Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Make abstract in one body. Remove all headings from divided parts. Revise all references in text and in list. There is only 9 references that used in text and shown in list. But in references list there are too many given references! Use all in text and give them in the same format.	
	Use the same format by giving references.	
	583. The author(s) make a decision about poster 7. But he/she can not express his/her own feelings without any aesthetics principle.	
	There are some spelling errors in the text. Please make sure a native speaker read this and edited this before you submitted again.	
	Use the journal submission style.	
Minor REVISION comments		
	The author put the same number on chapter. Revise all headings and numbering style	
Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eylem TATAROĞLU
Department, University & Country	Başkent University, Turkey

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)