



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_45350
Title of the Manuscript:	The Origin and Effects of Winner-Takes-All Politics on Ghana's Drive to Democratic Consolidation.
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This is an interesting article, but few refinement is required before it will be suitable for publication.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. English Language</p> <p>The article requires a proof reading to correct the language.</p> <p>Most sentences also not connected with one another because of lack of explanation. For example (L33-34) it was hard to understand – Most countries in Africa have been able to hold more than three elections since they returned to constitutional rule.? Why? Readers need to know that.</p> <p>2. Line of Argument</p> <p>The argument is OK. However, few sources to support the argument are needed. In the section of causes of WTA - For example from (L 200-265), no sources are provided to support the argument.</p> <p>Literature review is still need attention. More analysis of LR are needed. More discussion is needed in regards to the Winner Takes All.</p> <p>The section of Origin of WTA in Ghana and Origin of WTA can be combined and summarized in one section only.</p> <p>The discussion on the effect is also need more attention. Some paragraph need more explanation and also analysis. For example L289-290, this line need more analysis and also example to support the argument.</p> <p>It is not clear to what extent you are suggesting that the government should not practice WTA by appoint also people from the opposition party to join the government. This need more elaboration. Without such clarity, it is difficult to interpret your claim that 'WTA negatively affect Ghana's drive to democratic consolidation'.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>This paper is OK but need more elaboration in certain section especially in the section of Causes and Effect of WTA. The paper also needs to go for English check.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Rosyidah Muhamad</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University Malaysia Terengganu, Malaysia</i>