



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_45350
Title of the Manuscript:	The Origin and Effects of Winner-Takes-All Politics on Ghana's Drive to Democratic Consolidation.
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The abstract is too long and cumbersome. The abstract should be re-written in the order: The objectives of the study, methodology, and findings.</p> <p>There is absence of 'statement of problem'. Please insert and arrange your work properly.</p> <p>Under the Conceptual Framework, there is need for definition of democracy, system of government in Ghana (Federalism or Unitary State), Constitution, Election, and the winner-Take-All.</p> <p>The origin of the 'Winner-Take- All should be traced through published works or author, as evidence, to make the work scientific.</p> <p>The methodology should be secondary source of data was adopted and 'data were gathered for the work through newspaper, textbooks, Journal articles etc.</p> <p>There is need for recommendation after conclusion.</p> <p>The referencing style: Please check and ensure conformity with the APA format.</p>	<p>I have re-written the abstract in the order indicated here.</p> <p>The problem of the statement is there just that I did not put the heading there.</p> <p>I have defined democracy, system of government, elections and winner-takes-all</p> <p>I have done that</p> <p>I have rewritten the methodology as recommended</p> <p>I have added the recommendation as indicated.</p> <p>I used the APA style through out</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>There is need for clarification or revision of the following statements:</p> <p>This is also attributed to the fact the party that won an election will not consider them for any appointment irrespective of the caliber of persons they have in the party.</p> <p>However, there is seem to be no scholarly work on the effects of Winner Takes 68 All politics on Ghana's drive to democratic consolidation.</p>	<p>This sentence has been clarified</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>The topic may be changed to 'Winner-Takes-All Politics and Democratic Consolidation in Ghana's Fourth Republic'.</p>	<p>Thank you for the suggestion. I have accordingly changed the topic to this one you proposed.</p>

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	