



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_39608
Title of the Manuscript:	Is international law really law?
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author seeks to argue that international laws should be regarded as law indeed. Nevertheless, there are not data to support this position other than the arguments of the realists and liberalist on international law. There is the need for a section to discuss the justification for his position other than his concluding remarks. There is the need to present data that speak to the characteristic features of laws, with examples of the application of laws in the international system.	
Minor REVISION comments	The manuscript requires a measure of language editing.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Omololu Fagbadebo
Department, University & Country	Public Governance, University of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa