



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_39607
Title of the Manuscript:	Reforming Electoral Practices for Democratic Consolidation in Ghana's Fourth Republic (1992-2016)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is an interesting article, but thorough refinement is required before it will be suitable for publication	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. English Language</p> <p>The article requires a thorough proof reading to correct the language. For example (P7-P10) it was hard to understand since there are 4 lines for one sentece without full stop. (P29-32)- 4 lines for one sentence. (P36-40)- same as above grammatical errors – for example (and these are only examples, there are many more) (P16) holding transparent and credible elections 'are' a critical rather than 'is'.</p> <p>You also need to put in paragraph as for every point you made in P235-260. It was quite hard to read as no paragraph.</p> <p>2. Line of Argument</p> <p>Literature review is still need more attention. More analysis of LR are needed. You might summarize the study by combining similar data in one paragraph instead put each of study in one paragraph.</p> <p>It is not clear to what extent you are suggesting that EC reform will bring democratization in Ghana. Without such clarity, it is difficult to interpret your claim that 'all these elections have had their own defining moments'.</p> <p>You should put your explanation by sub topic. It much easier to understand that.</p> <p>Your argument also unclear and you also not analyses your argument nicely.</p>	
Optional/General comments	This paper needs to go for English check. It is hard to understand the paper as it seems it was been translated from the local language to English. The author needs to put in the context for discussion after translate it to English.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rosyidah Muhamad
Department, University & Country	School of Social dan Economic Development, University Malaysia Terengganu, Malaysia