



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_41354
Title of the Manuscript:	Strategies for Increasing Students' Self-motivation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>More contemporary literature, preferably from 2016-2018 must be scanned, these findings reflected in the study and cited in the references.</p> <p>The research implications must be expanded and areas of future research need to be stated.</p>	<p>References of 2017 added besides the ones which were already there of 2016 and 2017</p> <p>Recommendations for further research included</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The limitations of the study need to be indicated and the researcher needs to indicate how the validity and reliability of the study findings was enhanced. The researcher should indicate how the data obtained from focus group discussions were triangulated. The manuscript needs to be language edited.</p>	<p>Limitation added</p> <p>Validity and reliability added</p> <p>Triangulation was done within convenient sampling in a purely qualitative approach</p>
Optional/General comments	None	Thank you