



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_41051
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Sociocultural Factors on Academic Performance among Candidates in Kisumu City, Kenya
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Introduction: You are expected to point out the clear linkage between sociocultural factors and academic performance; and explain how they impact each other. Does sociocultural factors leads to poor academic performance in school? How? in what manner? Explain clearly. Provide tables with figures indicating students academic performance (KCSE) ratio (2010- date) in Kenya to capture the focus of your topic. Explain whether there is improvement or not.</p> <p>Method: present the demographic information of the respondents in a tabular form.</p> <p>Results: use frequency/percentage to present your result e.g Out of 224 participants interviewed, 6(30%) of the interviewees indicated that</p> <p>Follow APA style of referencing</p>	<p>Corrections done in the Introduction: Ref the Background of study Table on KCSE performance from 2010 found unnecessary because the study focuses on a particular year, which was 2017. Hence no need for trend from 2010.</p> <p>Correction done: Demographic information presented in tabular form</p> <p>Percentages not used because this was a QUALITATIVE not quantitative study. In addition, data was collected from FOCUS GROUPS not interviewees.</p> <p>Vancouver style of referencing followed according to SDI requirements.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Follow a peer- referred journal on the research format.	SDI format followed in the research format

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link: <http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>