



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_41051
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Sociocultral Factors on Academic Performance among Candidates in Kisumu City, Kenya
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors should look at language in general; there are some general grammatical corrections that need to be looked at.</p> <p>Why convenience sampling when the sampling frame exist? Can you justify the use of the convenience sampling in the methodology? The sample size could have been at least 5% of the population since you used the convenience sampling techniques.</p> <p>Ethical issue: How was the selection of participant done,that was not clearly stated,did the students give their consent to be included in the study? I think the authors should clarify that.</p>	<p>Grammatical corrections made</p> <p>The indication that the sampling technique used was convenience sampling was a typing error. The sampling technique actually used was PURPOSIVE SAMPLING TECHNIQUE. REF SECTION 4.2</p> <p>Section on ethical issue added.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>The study is relevant to contemporary education especially in Africa where the problem persist.</p> <p>The study objective was clearly stated problem researched appropriately. The gaps were clearly stated and relevant of the study well stated.</p> <p>The study reviewed relevant literature that support the problem under investigation.</p>	Thank you