



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_41051
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Sociocultral Factors on Academic Performance among Candidates in Kisumu City, Kenya
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Data Analysis needs to be explained in more detail. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: Interpretation should be done by giving analysis results. The results of the analysis obtained can be given in the form of a table.</p> <p>Ethical issue: no ethical situation has been identified.</p>	<p>Correction done. Ref the data analysis section Correction done. Refer the results and discussion section</p> <p>Correction done: Section on ethical issue added.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		