



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_36988
Title of the Manuscript:	A decipherment of the Eteocretan inscription from Psychro (Crete)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The scribes would have to improvise how to approximate the Eteocretan sounds using a script made for a different language, More than one non-36 Greek languages were spoken in Crete. The vowels at the end of words were mostly long; In order to pronounce /r/, a vowel should follow, because the final consonants in closed Sumerian words were not pronounced. So an /i/ was added to become /báar-i/. This is similar to English Phonological rules.	
Minor REVISION comments	The Aegean scripts have affinity to Sumerian scripts. The Sumerian (/Minoan) and other non-Greek languages were spoken in Crete at least until 300 BC.	
Optional/General comments	Although the pre-Greek languages are forgotten, they left strong phonological elements in 63 the Cretan dialect, and even outside Crete.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M.Rajendran
Department, University & Country	Education, SRM University, India