



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_36301
Title of the Manuscript:	ARE NEW GENERATION OF STUDENTS OF HEALTHCARE MANAGEMENT FOCUSED ON SOCIAL ENTREPRENEURSHIP? A FIELD STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Lines 48-50 sound more like methodology Line 59- should read is a very broad concept Ln.66- revise and use "accept" social responsibility than are more social..... Ln.81-most of the.... Ln 82-89 needs citation of source of that information Ln 89...adapted to a broader Ln 95-98 sounds more like methodology Ln 105 instead of saying "it was painted" Write the authors who said that. Ln123- use past tense under Methodology Ln 178- use past tense	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This is an interesting manuscript that is original.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Zuvarashe Judith Mushipe</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>School of Arts and Education, Department of Education, St Thomas University, USA</i>